arXiv:1608.07628v1 [math.DG] 26 Aug 2016

August 30, 2016

ISOTROPIC CURVE FLOWS ON $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$

CHUU-LIAN TERNG† AND ZHIWEI WU*

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ be the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ equipped with the index n, non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle X, Y\rangle = X^t C_n Y$, where

$$C_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^{n+i-1} e_{i,2n+2-i}. \tag{1.1}$$

Let $O(n+1,n)$ denote the group of linear isomorphisms on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ preserving $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. A subspace $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ is called *isotropic* if $\langle X, Y\rangle = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{I}$. A maximal isotropic subspace in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ has dimension n.

A smooth map $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ is called an *isotropic curve* if $\gamma, \gamma_s, \ldots, \gamma_s^{(2n)}$ are linearly independent and the span of $\gamma, \gamma_s, \cdots, \gamma_s^{(n-1)}$ is a maximal isotropic subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that a curve being isotropic is independent of the choice of parameter. It is easy to see that there is an orientation preserving parameter x (unique up to translation) for an isotropic curve such that $\langle \gamma_x^{(n)}, \gamma_x^{(n)}\rangle \equiv 1$. We call such x the *isotropic parameter* of γ.

Set

$$\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n} = \{\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n} \mid \gamma \text{ isotropic, } \langle \gamma_x^{(n)}, \gamma_x^{(n)}\rangle \equiv 1\}.$$

We prove that given $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$, there exists a unique smooth map $g : \mathbb{R} \to O(n+1,n)$ such that the i-th column is $\gamma_x^{(i-1)}$ for $1 \le i \le n+1$ and $g^{-1}g_x$ is of the form

$$g^{-1}g_x = b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \beta_i,$$

where

$$b = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} e_{i+1,i}, \quad \beta_i = e_{n+1-i,n+i} + e_{n+2-i,n+1+i}. \tag{1.2}$$

We call g, u_i, and $u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i\beta_i$ the *isotropic moving frame*, the *i-th isotropic curvature*, and the *isotropic curvature* along γ respectively.

Set

$$V_n = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}\beta_i. \tag{1.3}$$

†Research supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-1109342.
*Research supported in part by NSF of China under Grant No. 11401327.

Let $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n} \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$ be the *isotropy curvature map* defined by

$$\Psi(\gamma) = u = g^{-1}g_x - b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i\beta_i, \tag{1.4}$$

where u is the isotropy curvature of γ. Then Ψ is onto and $\Psi^{-1}(u)$ is an $O(n+1,n)$-orbit for all $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$. Hence $u_1, \dots, u_n$ form a complete set of *differential invariants* for $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$.

An *isotropic curve flow* on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ is an evolution equation on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ of the form

$$\gamma_t = g\xi(u),$$

where $g(\cdot,t)$, $u(\cdot,t)$ are the isotropic moving frame and isotropic curvature along $\gamma(\cdot,t)$ respectively and $\xi(u)$ is a $\mathbb{R}^{(2n+1)\times 1}$-valued differential polynomial of u in x variable. Hence isotropic curve flows are invariant under the action of $O(n+1,n)$.

We note that a map $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ lies in $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ if and only if γ lies in the light cone, is space-like, and is parametrized by its arc-length. The isotropic curvature of such curve is the standard curvature in differential geometry. Hence an isotropic curve flow on $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ is a geometric curve flow for space-like curves on the light cone of $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ preserving arc-length. We show that an isotropic curve flow on $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ is of the form

$$\gamma_t = (h(q))_x\gamma - h(q)\gamma_x$$

for some differential polynomial h of the isotropic curvature $q(\cdot,t)$ of $\gamma(\cdot,t)$. In particular,

$$\gamma_t = q_x\gamma - q\gamma_x, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\gamma_t = -\frac{1}{9}(q_{xxx} - 8qq_x)\gamma + \frac{1}{9}(q_{xx} - 4q^2)\gamma_x, \tag{1.6}$$

are isotropic curve flows. We show that if γ is a solution of (1.5) or (1.6), then the isotropic curvature q is a solution of KdV

$$q_t = q_{xxx} - 3qq_x,$$

or the Kupershmidt-Kaup (KK) equation

$$q_t = -\frac{1}{9}(q^{(5)} - 10qq_{xxx} - 25q_xq_{xx} + 20q^2q_x) \tag{1.7}$$

respectively. So these two curve flows give natural geometric interpretations of the KdV and KK equations respectively.

In this paper, we prove the following results:

(a) For general n, we construct two sequences of isotropic curve flows on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ of B-type and A-type that map to the $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV and $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV hierarchies under the isotropic curvature map Ψ. The third

flows of B-type and A-type are

$$\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial t} = -\frac{3}{n}u_1\gamma_x + \gamma_x^{(3)}, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial t} = -\frac{3}{2n+1}(u_1)_x\gamma - \frac{6}{2n+1}u_1\gamma_x + \gamma_x^{(3)}, \tag{1.9}$$

where $u_1(\cdot,t)$ is the first isotropic curvature along $\gamma(\cdot,t)$. Note that the flows (1.5) and (1.6) are the third and fifth flows of these two sequence when $n=1$.

(b) Algorithms to compute the Bi-Hamiltonian structures and conservation laws for isotropic curve flows of B- and A-types are given.

(c) We construct Bäcklund transformations and Permutability formulas.

In particular, isotropic curve flows give natural geometric interpretations of the $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV and $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV flows and techniques from soliton theory give bi-Hamiltonian, conservation laws, and BTs for these isotropic curve flows. We note that the relation between central curve flows on $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash 0$ and the soliton theory of the $\hat{A}_{n-1}^{(1)}$-KdV hierarchy were considered in [7] and [10] for $n=2$, in [1] for $n=3$, and for general n in [11].

This paper is organized as follows. We construct moving frames and isotropic curvatures for isotropic curves in section 2, give an explicit description of the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ at γ in section 3, and review Drinfeld-Sokolov's construction of KdV type equations associated to the affine Kac-Moody algebras $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$ and $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$ in section 4. We prove results (a) and solve the Cauchy problem for these curve flows in section 5. Result (b) is proved in section 6. We construct Bäcklund transformations for isotropic curve flows of B-type and A-type and give an algorithm to construct infinitely many families of explicit solutions of these isotropic curve flows in sections 7 and 8 respectively.

2. Moving frames along isotropic curves

In this section, we prove the existence of isotropic parameter and construct isotropic moving frames and curvatures along isotropic curves. We also give some properties of the isotropic curvature map Ψ.

The Lie algebra of $O(n+1,n)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} o(n+1,n) &= \{A \in sl(2n+1,\mathbb{R}) \mid A^tC_n + C_nA = 0\} \\ &= \{(A_{ij}) \mid A_{ij} + (-1)^{i-j}A_{2n+2-j,2n+2-i} = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le 2n+1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $A=(A_{ij}) \in o(n+1,n)$ if and only if A_{ij}'s are symmetric (skew-symmetric resp.) with respect to the skew diagonal line $x+y=2n+2$ if $i+j$ is odd (even resp.) and $A_{ij}=0$ if $i+j=2n+2$. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_i = \operatorname{span}\{e_{j,j+i} \mid 1 \le i+j \le 2n+1\} \cap o(n+1,n). \tag{2.1}$$

Then

$$o(n+1,n) = \oplus_{i=-2n}^{2n} \mathcal{G}_i, \quad \mathcal{G}_{-2n} = \mathcal{G}_{2n} = \mathbf{0}, \quad [\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j] \subset \mathcal{G}_{i+j}.$$

Proposition 2.1.

(i) *The dimension of a maximal isotropic subspace of* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ *is* n.
(ii) *The* $O(n+1,n)$*-action on the space of ordered isotropic bases of* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ *defined by* $g \cdot (v_1, \ldots, v_n) = (gv_1, \ldots, gv_n)$ *is transitive.*

Proof. (i) Let $\{e_i, 1 \le i \le 2n+1\}$ denote the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. Then $A = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n\}$ is an isotropic space in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$.

Let $V = \text{span}\{v_1, \cdots, v_n\}$ be another n-dimension isotropic subspace, $g_1 = (e_1, \cdots, e_n)$, and $g_2 = (v_1, \cdots, v_n)$. We claim that there exists $C \in O(n+1,n)$ such that $g_2 = Cg_1$. From linear algebra, we can extend $\{v_1, \cdots, v_n\}$ to a basis $\{v_1, \cdots, v_n, v_{n+1}, \cdots, v_{2n+1}\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ and denote $\tilde{g}_2 = (v_1, \cdots, v_{2n+1}) \in O(n+1,n)$. Then choose $C = \tilde{g}_2$.

(ii) Suppose $B = \text{span}\{w_1, \cdots, w_{n+1}\}$ is an isotropic subspace in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ of dimension n+1. According to (i), there exists $C \in O(n+1,n)$, such that $(w_1, \cdots, w_n) = C(e_1, \cdots, e_n)$. Therefore, we may assume $w_i = e_i, 1 \le i \le n$. Then from $\langle e_i, w_{n+1}\rangle = 0$ for $1 \le i \le n$ and $\langle w_{n+1}, w_{n+1}\rangle = 0$, we have $w_{n+1} = 0$, which is a contradiction. This proves (ii). □

Proposition 2.2. *If* $\gamma(s)$ *is isotropic in* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ *for all* $s \in \mathbb{R}$*, then there exists an orientation preserving parameter* $x = x(s)$ *unique up to translation such that* $\langle \gamma_x^{(n)}, \gamma_x^{(n)}\rangle = 1$*, i.e.,* x *is the isotropic parameter of* γ.

Proof. Since γ is isotropic,

$$\langle \gamma_s^{(n-1)}, \gamma_s^{(i)}\rangle = 0, \quad 0 \le i \le n-1.$$

Take the derivative with respect to s of both sides to get

$$\langle \gamma_s^{(n-1)}, \gamma_s^{(i)}\rangle_s = \langle \gamma_s^{(n)}, \gamma_s^{(i)}\rangle + \langle \gamma_s^{(n-1)}, \gamma_s^{(i+1)}\rangle = 0$$

So $\langle \gamma_s^{(n)}, \gamma_s^{(i)}\rangle = 0$ for any $0 \le i \le n-1$. Since the span of $\{\gamma, \ldots, \gamma_s^{(n-1)}\}$ is maximal isotropic, $\langle \gamma_s^{(n)}, \gamma_s^{(n)}\rangle \ne 0$.

We claim that $\langle \gamma_s^{(n)}, \gamma_s^{(n)}\rangle > 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. To see this, we first note that Proposition 2.1 (ii) implies that there exists $C \in O(n+1,n)$ such that

$$C(\gamma, \cdots, \gamma_s^{(n-1)}) = (e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n),$$

where e_i is the i-th standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_{2n+1})^t = C\gamma_s^{(n)}$. Then we have

$$\langle C\gamma_s^{(n)}, C\gamma_s^{(i)}\rangle = \langle \mathbf{c}, e_i\rangle = c_{2n+2-i} = \langle \gamma_s^{(n)}, \gamma_s^{(i)}\rangle = 0$$

for $1 \le i \le n$. So $c_{2n+2-i} = 0$ for $1 \le i \le n$. This implies that

$$\langle \gamma_x^{(n)}, \gamma_x^{(n)}\rangle = \langle C\gamma_x^{(n)}, C\gamma_x^{(n)}\rangle = \mathbf{c}^t C_n \mathbf{c} = c_{n+1}^2.$$

But $\langle \gamma_s^{(n)}, \gamma_s^{(n)}\rangle \ne 0$. This proves the claim.

Choose x such that $\frac{dx}{ds} = \langle \gamma_s^{(n)}, \gamma_s^{(n)}\rangle^{1/2n}$ and the proposition follows. □

Theorem 2.3. *Given $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$, there exists a unique isotropic moving frame $g = (p_1, \cdots, p_{2n+1}) : \mathbb{R} \to O(n+1,n)$ along γ, i.e., $p_i = \gamma_x^{(i-1)}$ for $1 \le i \le n+1$ and $g^{-1}g_x = b + \sum_{i=1}^n u_i\beta_i$ for some n smooth functions $u_1, \cdots, u_n$, where b and β_i's are given in* (1.2).

Proof. We claim that $p_{n+2}, \cdots, p_{2n+1}$ and $u_1, \cdots, u_n$ can be constructed from recursive formulas. From $\langle \gamma_x^{(n-1)}, \gamma_x^{(n)} \rangle = 0$, we have $\langle \gamma_x^{(n-1)}, \gamma_x^{(n+1)} \rangle = -\langle \gamma_x^{(n)}, \gamma_x^{(n)} \rangle = -1$.

Let

$$u_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\langle \gamma_x^{(n+1)}, \gamma_x^{(n+1)} \rangle, \quad p_{n+2} = \gamma_x^{(n+1)} - u_1\gamma_x^{(n-1)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \langle \gamma_x^{(i)}, p_{n+2} \rangle = 0, \quad 0 \le i \le n-2, \\ \langle \gamma_x^{(n-1)}, p_{n+2} \rangle = -1, \quad \langle \gamma_x^{(n)}, p_{n+2} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle p_{n+2}, p_{n+2} \rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $\langle p_{n+2}, \gamma_x^{(n)} \rangle = 0$, $\langle (p_{n+2})_x, \gamma_x^{(n)} \rangle + \langle p_{n+2}, \gamma_x^{(n+1)} \rangle = 0$. From (2.2),

$$\langle (p_{n+2})_x, \gamma_x^{(n)} \rangle = u_1.$$

On the other hand, $\langle \gamma_x^{(n-2)}, p_{n+2} \rangle = 0$ implies that

$$\langle \gamma_x^{(n-2)}, (p_{n+2})_x \rangle = -\langle \gamma_x^{(n-1)}, p_{n+2} \rangle = 1.$$

Set

$$u_2 = \frac{1}{2}\langle (p_{n+2})_x, (p_{n+2})_x \rangle - \frac{1}{2}u_1^2, \quad p_{n+3} = (p_{n+2})_x - u_2\gamma_x^{(n-2)} - u_1\gamma_x^{(n)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \langle \gamma_x^{(i)}, p_{n+3} \rangle = 0, \quad 0 \le i \le n, i \ne n-2, \\ \langle \gamma_x^{(n-2)}, p_{n+3} \rangle = 1, \quad \langle p_{n+2}, p_{n+3} \rangle = 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose we have already found $p_{n+2}, \cdots, p_{n+j}$ and $u_1, \cdots, u_{j-1}$ for $j \ge 3$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \langle p_i, p_{n+j} \rangle = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le n+j, \quad i \ne n = 2-j, \\ \langle p_{n+2-j}, p_{n+j} \rangle = (-1)^{j-1}, \\ (p_{n-1+j})_x = p_{n+j} + u_{j-1}p_{n-2+j} + u_{j-2}p_{n-4+j}. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$\begin{cases} u_j = (-1)^j \langle (p_{n+j})_x, (p_{n+j})_x \rangle, \\ p_{n+j+1} = (p_{n+j})_x - u_j p_{n+1-j} - u_{j-1}p_{n+3-j}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from a direct computation that $\langle p_i, p_{n+j+1} \rangle = (-1)^j \delta_{i,n+1-j}$. Hence we get p_{n+j+1} and u_j. The uniqueness of g and u_i's comes from the way they are constructed. □

The map g, u_i, and $u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i\beta_i$ in Theorem 2.3 are the isotropic moving frame, the i-th isotropic curvature, and the isotropic curvature along γ.

If follows from the Existence and Uniqueness Theorem of ordinary differential equations that we have

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n} \to V_n$ be the isotropic curvature map. Then Ψ is onto and $\Psi^{-1}(u)$ is an $O(n+1,n)$-orbit for all $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$.*

Example 2.5. Isotropic curves in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ with zero isotropic curvatures are of the form

$$\gamma = C(1, x, \frac{x^2}{2!}, \cdots, \frac{x^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!}, \frac{x^{2n}}{2n!})^t, \quad C \in O(n+1,n). \tag{2.4}$$

Example 2.6. The isotropic moving frame $g = (\gamma, \gamma_x, p_3)$ and isotropic curvature $q := u_1$ along $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ are

$$q = -\frac{1}{2}\langle \gamma_{xx}, \gamma_{xx}\rangle, \quad p_3 = \gamma_{xx} - q\gamma,$$
$$g^{-1}g_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & q \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 2.7. For $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{3,2}$, let $u_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\langle \gamma_x^{(3)}, \gamma_x^{(3)}\rangle$, $p_4 = \gamma_x^{(3)} - u_1\gamma_x$, $u_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\langle (p_4)_x, (p_4)_x\rangle - u_1^2)$, and $p_5 = (p_4)_x - u_2\gamma - u_1\gamma_{xx}$. Then $g = (\gamma, \gamma_x, \gamma_{xx}, p_4, p_5)$ is the isotropic moving frame along γ, i.e.,

$$g^{-1}g_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & u_2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & u_1 & 0 & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & u_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and u_1, u_2 are the isotropic curvatures of γ.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n} \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$ be the isotropic curvature map. Then the differential of Ψ at γ is*

$$d\Psi(\delta\gamma) = \delta u = [\partial_x + b + u, g^{-1}\delta g], \tag{2.5}$$

where g, u, and δg are the isotropic moving frame, isotropic curvature, and the variation of g when we vary γ by $\delta\gamma$ respectively.

Proof. It follows from $g^{-1}g_x = b + u$ that we have

$$\delta u = -g^{-1}\delta g g^{-1}g_x + g^{-1}(\delta g)_x = -g^{-1}\delta g(b+u) + g^{-1}(\delta g)_x,$$

On the other hand,

$$(g^{-1}\delta g)_x = -g^{-1}g_x g^{-1}\delta g + g^{-1}(\delta g)_x = -(b+u)g^{-1}\delta g + g^{-1}(\delta g)_x,$$

Therefore

$$\delta u = -g^{-1}\delta g(b+u) + (g^{-1}\delta g)_x + (b+u)g^{-1}(\delta g) = [\partial_x + b + u, g^{-1}\delta g].$$

□

As a consequence of Propositions 2.4 and 2.8 we have

Corollary 2.9. *Given $u, \eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$, then there exists $\xi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, o(n+1,n))$ such that $[\partial_x + b + u, \xi] = \eta$, where b is as in (1.2).*

3. The tangent space of $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ at γ

In this section, we identify the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ at γ as $C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)$. Henceforth in this paper we set

$$e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}.$$

Below we give a useful description of $T_\gamma \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let g and u be the isotropic moving frame and isotropic curvature along $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$. Suppose $C : \mathbb{R} \to O(n+1,n)$ satisfies*

$$[\partial_x + b + u, C] \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n). \tag{3.1}$$

Then $\xi(\gamma) = gCe_1$ is tangent to $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ at γ. Conversely, all tangent vectors of $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ at γ arise this way.

Proof. It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ that $\delta\gamma$ is tangent to $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ at γ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \langle (\delta\gamma)_x^{(i)}, \gamma_x^{(j)} \rangle + \langle \gamma_x^{(i)}, (\delta\gamma)_x^{(j)} \rangle = 0, \quad 0 \le i, j \le n-1, \\ \langle (\delta\gamma)_x^{(n+1)}, \gamma_x^{(n)} \rangle = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Let η_j denote the j-th column of gC for $1 \le j \le 2n+1$. To prove gCe_1 is tangent to $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$, it suffices to prove that η_1 satisfies (3.2). Let $\rho = [\partial_x + b + u, C]$. A direct computation gives

$$(gC)_x = g_x C + gC_x = gC(b+u) + g\rho.$$

Since the first n columns of ρ are zero, the first $n+1$ columns of gC are related by

$$\eta_2 = (\eta_1)_x, \cdots, \eta_{n+1} = (\eta_1)_x^{(n)}.$$

Hence, for $0 \le i, j \le n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\eta_1)_x^{(i)}, \gamma_x^{(j)} \rangle + \langle \gamma_x^{(i)}, (\eta_1)_x^{(j)} \rangle &= \langle gCe_{i+1}, ge_{j+1} \rangle + \langle ge_{i+1}, gCe_{j+1} \rangle \\ &= \langle Ce_{i+1}, e_{j+1} \rangle + \langle e_{i+1}, Ce_{j+1} \rangle = e_{i+1}^t (C^t C_n + C_n C^t) e_{j+1} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C = (C_{ij}) \in o(n+1,n)$, $\langle (\eta_1)_x^{(n)}, \gamma_x^{(n)} \rangle = C_{n+1,n} = 0$. So $\xi(\gamma) = \eta_1$ is tangent to $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ at γ.

The converse follows from Proposition 2.8. □

Next we prove that if $C = (C_{ij})$ satisfying (3.1) then C is determined by $\{C_{n+i,n+1-i}, 1 \le i \le n\}$ or $\{C_{2i,1}, 1 \le i \le n\}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$, $C = (C_{ij}) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, o(n+1,n))$ and $v_i = C_{n+i,n+1-i}$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Suppose $[\partial_x + b + u, C] \in V_n$. Then we have the following.*

(i) *C_{ij}'s are differential polynomials in $u, v_1, \cdots, v_n$.*
(ii) *$C_{2n-2i,1} = v_{n-i} + \phi_i$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$, where ϕ_i is a differential polynomial in $u, v_{n+1-i}, \cdots, v_n$.*

(iii) *There exist differential polynomials* h_{2i+1} *such that*

$$C_{2i+1,1} = h_{2i+1}(u, C_{2i+2,1}, \cdots, C_{2n,1}), \quad 0 \le i \le n-1.$$

(iv) C_{ij}*'s are differential polynomials of* $u, C_{21}, C_{41}, \cdots, C_{2k,1}, \cdots, C_{2n,1}$.

Proof. Since $C \in o(n+1,n)$, $C_{n+1+i,n+2-i} = C_{n+i,n+1-i} = v_i$. Let $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i\beta_i^t \in V_n^t$, where V_n and β_i's are defined in (1.3). Let $\mathcal{G}_k$ be as in (2.1). Then $[\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j] \subset \mathcal{G}_{i+j}$. For $\xi \in o(n+1,n)$, let $\xi_{\mathcal{G}_i}$ denote the $\mathcal{G}_i$-component of ξ w.r.t. $o(n+1,n) = \oplus_{i=1-2n}^{2n-1}\mathcal{G}_i$.

Suppose $[\partial_x + b + u, C] = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i\beta_i$. Write $C = \sum_{i=1-2n}^{2n-1} C_i$ with $C_i \in \mathcal{G}_i$. Then

$$C_j' + [b, C_{j+1}] + [u, C]_{\mathcal{G}_j} = \begin{cases} \eta_i\beta_i, & j = 2i-1, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

We claim that C_j are differential polynomials in v and u. For $j = 1-2n$, we have $C_{2n,1} = C_{2n+1,2} = v_n$. For $j < 0$, if j is even, $ad(b) : \mathcal{G}_j \to \mathcal{G}_{j-1}$ is a bijection. If j is odd, then $\dim(\mathrm{Im}(ad(b)(\mathcal{G}_j))) = \dim(\mathcal{G}_{j-1}) = \dim(\mathcal{G}_j) - 1$. Then from (3.3), for both cases, entries of C_j are differential polynomials in $v_n, \cdots, v_{-[\frac{j}{2}]}$. Then by induction, the claim is true for $j < 0$.

Note that $ad(b)$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{G}_0$ to $\mathcal{G}_{-1}$, and we have the $\mathcal{G}_j$ component $[u, C]_{\mathcal{G}_j}$ depends only on $u, v_1, \cdots, v_n$. So C_0 is a differential polynomial in u and v.

For $j > 0$, we see that when j is odd, $ad(b) : \mathcal{G}_j \to \mathcal{G}_{j-1}$ is again a bijection. When j is even, we have $\dim(\mathrm{Im}(ad(b)(\mathcal{G}_j))) = \dim(\mathcal{G}_j) = \dim(\mathcal{G}_{j-1}) - 1$. Therefore, in both cases, C_j can be solved uniquely from C_{j-1} and η_i's are differential polynomials in entries of C_{2i-1}. By induction, the claim is true for $j > 0$. This proves the statement (i).

To prove (ii), let $j = 2i + 1 - 2n$ in (3.3). Then the linear system implies that $C_{2n-2i,1} = v_{n-i} + \phi_i, 0 \le i \le n-1$, where ϕ_i is a differential polynomial in $u, v_{n+1-i}, \cdots, v_n$.

Statement (iii) and (iv) are consequence from (i) and (ii). □

Corollary 3.3. *Let* $g = (p_1, \ldots, p_{2n+1})$ *and* u *denote the isotropic moving frame and isotropic curvature along* $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$. *Then* $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \xi_i p_i$ *is tangent to* $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ *at* γ *if and only if*

$$\xi_{2i+1} = h_{2i+1}(u, \xi_{2i+2}, \cdots, \xi_{2n}), \quad 0 \le i \le n-1, \tag{3.4}$$

where h_{2i+1}*'s are the differential polynomials given in Theorem 3.2. In particular, we identify* $T_\gamma\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ *as* $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 gives the following.

Corollary 3.4. *Let* $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$, *and* $v_1, \cdots, v_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. *Then there exists a unique* $C = (C_{ij}) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, o(n+1,n))$ *such that* $[\partial_x + b + u, C] \in V_n$ *and* $C_{n+i,n+1-i} = v_i$ *for* $1 \le i \le n$.

Definition 3.5. Given $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$, let

$$P_u : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n^t) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, o(n+1,n))$$

be the map defined by $P_u(v) = C$ for $v = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i\beta_i^t$, where C is the unique $o(n+1,n)$-value map defined by $v_1, \dots, v_n$ in Corollary 3.4.

Corollary 3.6. *Let g and u be the isotropic moving frame and the isotropic curvature along $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$. Then the following statements are equivalent for $C : \mathbb{R} \to o(n+1,n)$:*

(1) *gCe_1 is tangent to $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ at γ.*
(2) *$[\partial_x + b + u, C] \in V_n$.*
(3) *$C = g^{-1}\delta g$, where δg is the variation of g when we vary γ by $\delta\gamma$.*
(4) *$C = P_u(\pi_0(C))$,*

where $\pi_0 : o(n+1,n) \to V_n^t$ be the projection defined by

$$\pi_0((y_{ij})) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_{n+i,n+1-i}\beta_i^t, \quad \beta_i^t = e_{n+i,n+1-i} + e_{n+1+i,n+2-i}. \tag{3.5}$$

Corollary 3.7. *Given $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ and $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2n}, 0)^t$ satisfying (3.4), then there is a unique $o(n+1,n)$-valued differential polynomial $\Theta_\gamma(\xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, o(n+1,n))$ such that the $i1$-th entry is ξ_i for $1 \le i \le 2n+1$ and $[\partial_x + b + u, \Theta_\gamma(\xi)] \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$. Moreover, $\Theta_\gamma(\xi) = P_u(\pi_0(\Theta_\gamma(\xi)))$.*

Below we give some examples of $T(\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n})_\gamma$ when n is small.

Example 3.8. $T_\gamma\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$

When $n = 1$, $b = e_{21} + e_{32}$, $\beta_1 = e_{12} + e_{23}$, $V_1 = \mathbb{R}\beta_1$, $u = q\beta_1$. a direct computation implies that $[\partial_x + b + u, C] \in V_1$ if and only if

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} -\xi_x & -\xi_{xx} + q\xi & 0 \\ \xi & 0 & -\xi_{xx} + q\xi \\ 0 & \xi & \xi_x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, $[\partial_x + b + u, C] = (-\xi_x^{(3)} + 2q\xi_x + (q)_x\xi)\beta_1$ and

$$T(\mathcal{M}_{2,1})_\gamma = \{-\xi_x\gamma + \xi\gamma_x \mid \xi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\}.$$

Example 3.9. $T_\gamma\mathcal{M}_{3,2}$

Here $b = \sum_{i=1}^4 e_{i+1,i}$, $\beta_1 = e_{23} + e_{34}$, $\beta_2 = e_{14} + e_{25}$, $V_2 = \mathbb{R}\beta_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}\beta_2$, and $u = u_1\beta_1 + u_2\beta_2$. Then $C \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, o(3,2))$ satisfying $[\partial_x + b + u, C] \in V_2$ if and only if

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_x^{(3)} - 2\xi_x - (u_1)_x\eta & * & * & * & 0 \\ \xi & a & * & 0 & * \\ -(\eta)_x & \zeta & 0 & * & * \\ \eta & 0 & \zeta & -a & * \\ 0 & \eta & (\eta)_x & \xi & -\eta_x^{(3)} + 2\xi_x + (u_1)_x\eta \end{pmatrix}$$

for some real valued functions ξ, η, where $a = \eta_x^{(3)} - \xi_x - (u_1\eta)_x$ and $\zeta = \xi - \eta_{xx} + u_1\eta$. So

$$T(\mathcal{M}_{3,2})_\gamma = \{(\eta_x^{(3)} - 2\xi_x - (u_1)_x\eta)\gamma + \xi\gamma_x - \eta_x\gamma_{xx} + \eta p_4 \mid \xi, \eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\},$$

where $g = (\gamma, \gamma_x, \gamma_{xx}, p_4, p_5) \in O(3,2)$ is the isotropic moving frame along γ.

In particular, we see that $X_1(\gamma) = -\frac{1}{2}u_1\gamma_x + p_4$ and $X_2(\gamma) = -\frac{3}{5}(u_1)_x\gamma - \frac{1}{5}u_1\gamma_x + p_4$ are tangent vector fields of $\mathcal{M}_{3,2}$. Hence

$$\gamma_t = -\frac{1}{2}u_1\gamma_x + p_4, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\gamma_t = -\frac{3}{5}(u_1)_x\gamma - \frac{1}{5}u_1\gamma_x + p_4, \tag{3.7}$$

are isotropic curve flows on $\mathcal{M}_{3,2}$. By Example 2.7, we have $p_4 = \gamma_x^{(3)} - u_1\gamma_x$. So (3.6) and (3.7) are (1.8) and (1.9) with $n = 2$ respectively.

Example 3.10. $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$**,** $(n \geq 3)$

For $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$, a direct computation implies that

$$X(\gamma) = -(n\xi_x + 3(u_1)_x)\gamma + \xi\gamma_x + \gamma_x^{(3)}$$

is tangent to $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ at γ. So

$$\gamma_t = -(n\xi_x + 3(u_1)_x)\gamma + \xi\gamma_x + \gamma_x^{(3)} \tag{3.8}$$

is an isotropic curve flow on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$. For example, if we choose ξ to be $-\frac{3}{n}u_1$ and $-\frac{6}{2n+1}u_1$ then we get (1.8) and (1.9) given in the introduction respectively.

4. The $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV and $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV hierarchies

Drinfeld-Sokolov associated to each affine Kac-Moody algebra a KdV-type soliton hierarchy (cf. [4]). These hierarchies are constructed as quotient flows for some gauge group actions. Different cross sections of the gauge group action give different but equivalent hierarchies. In this paper, we construct a suitable cross section for the gauge action so that the differential invariants for the isotropic curves lies in this cross section. We also prove that the $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV hierarchy is the constraint KP hierarchy in [2].

Let $\mathbb{C}^{n+1,n}$ be the vector space of $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ equipped with the bilinear form $\langle X, Y\rangle = X^tC_nY$, where C_n is as in (1.1). Let $O_{\mathbb{C}}(n+1,n)$ be the Lie group preserving $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1,n}$, i.e.

$$O_{\mathbb{C}}(n+1,n) = \{A \in SL(2n+1,\mathbb{C}) \mid A^tC_nA = C_n\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Its Lie algebra is $o_{\mathbb{C}}(n+1,n) = \{A \in sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C}) \mid A^tC_n + C_nA = 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_n^+, \mathcal{N}_n^+, \mathcal{T}_n$ denote the subalgebras of upper triangular, strictly upper triangular, and diagonal matrices in $o(n+1,n)$ respectively. Let B_n^+ and N_n^+ be the connected subgroups of $O(n+1,n)$ with Lie algebras $\mathcal{B}_n^+$ and $\mathcal{N}_n^+$.

First we review the construction of the $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$**-KdV hierarchy**. Set

$$\hat{B}_n^{(1)} = \left\{ \xi(\lambda) = \sum_{i \le m_0} \xi_i \lambda^i \mid \xi_i \in o_{\mathbb{C}}(n+1,n), \overline{\xi(\bar{\lambda})} = \xi(\lambda), m_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

$$(\hat{B}_n^{(1)})_+ = \left\{ \xi(\lambda) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \xi_i \lambda^i \in \hat{B}_n^{(1)} \right\}, (\hat{B}_n^{(1)})_- = \left\{ \xi(\lambda) = \sum_{i < 0} \xi_i \lambda^i \in \hat{B}_n^{(1)} \right\}.$$

Note that

(i) $\xi(\lambda) = \sum_i \xi_i \lambda^i \in \hat{B}_n^{(1)}$ if and only if $\xi_i \in o(n+1,n)$ for all i,
(ii) $\hat{B}_n^{(1)} = (\hat{B}_n^{(1)})_+ \oplus (\hat{B}_n^{(1)})_-$ is a direct sum of linear subspaces.

Let

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2}(e_{1,2n} + e_{2,2n+1}) \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$J_B = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} e_{i+1,i} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(e_{1,2n} + e_{2,2n+1}) = b + \beta\lambda. \tag{4.3}$$

Note that $J_B^{2j} \notin \hat{B}_n^{(1)}$ and

$$J_B^{2j-1} (j \ge 1) \in (\hat{B}_n^{(1)})_+, \quad J_B^{2n+1} = \lambda J_B. \tag{4.4}$$

So we can use the splitting $\hat{B}_n^{(1)} = (\hat{B}_n^{(1)})_+ \oplus (\hat{B}_n^{(1)})_-$ and the commuting sequence $\{J_B^{2j-1} \mid j \ge 1\}$ in $(\hat{B}_n^{(1)})_+$ to construct a hierarchy of soliton equations (cf. [9]). A direct computation implies that given $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_n^+)$, there exists a unique $P(q,\lambda)$ conjugate to J_B and satisfying

$$[\partial_x + J_B + q, P(q,\lambda)] = 0, \tag{4.5}$$

Expand $P^{2j-1}(q,\lambda)$ as a power series in λ,

$$P^{2j-1}(q,\lambda) = \sum_{i \le [\frac{2j-1}{2n+1}]+1} P_{2j-1,i}(q)\lambda^i. \tag{4.6}$$

It can be checked that all $P_{2j-1,i}(q)$'s are differential polynomials of q.

The $(2j-1)$-th flow $(j \ge 1)$ on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_n^+)$ is

$$q_{t_{2j-1}} = [\partial_x + b + q, P_{2j-1,0}(q)]. \tag{4.7}$$

These flows commute. Moreover, q is a solution of (4.7) if and only if

$$[\partial_x + J_B + q, \partial_{t_{2j-1}} + (P^{2j-1}(q,\lambda))_+] = 0$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Here ξ_+ is the projection of $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$ onto $(\hat{B}_n^{(1)})_+$ along $(\hat{B}_n^{(1)})_-$.

Definition 4.1. We call $F(x,t,\lambda) \in O_{\mathbb{C}}(n+1,n)$ a *frame* of the solution q of (4.7) if F is holomorphic for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and satisfies

$$F^{-1}F_x = J_B + q, \quad F^{-1}F_t = (P^{2j-1}(q,\lambda))_+, \quad \overline{F(x,t,\bar{\lambda})} = F(x,t,\lambda).$$

The group $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_n^+)$ acts on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_n^+)$ by gauge transformations,

$$\partial_x + J_B + \triangle * q = \triangle(\partial_x + J_B + q)\triangle^{-1}, \tag{4.8}$$

or equivalently,

$$\triangle * q = \triangle(J_B + q)\triangle^{-1} - \triangle_x\triangle^{-1} - J_B \in \mathcal{B}_n^+. \tag{4.9}$$

A direct computation similar to the one given in [9] implies the following.

Proposition 4.2.

(i) *Let $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_n^+)$, and $\triangle \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_n^+)$. Then*

$$\triangle P(q,\lambda)\triangle^{-1} = P(\triangle * q, \lambda),$$

where $P(q,\lambda)$ is defined by (4.5).

(ii) *Let q be a solution of* (4.7), *$\triangle \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_n^+)$, and $\tilde{q}(\cdot,t) = \triangle * q(\cdot,t)$. Then $\tilde{q}$ is again a solution of* (4.7).

So (4.7) is invariant under the action of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_n^+)$ and it induces a quotient flow on the orbit space $\frac{C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B}_n^+)}{C^\infty(\mathbb{R},N_n^+)}$. The next Proposition, which can be proved by a direct computation, shows that $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$ is a cross section of this gauge action.

Proposition 4.3. *Given $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_n^+)$, then there exist a unique $\triangle \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_n^+)$ and $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$ such that*

$$\triangle(\partial_x + J_B + q)\triangle^{-1} = \partial_x + J_B + u, \tag{4.10}$$

where V_n is as in (1.3) *and J_B is given by* (4.3). *Moreover, entries of $\triangle$ and u are differential polynomials of q.*

Definition 4.4. Let $\Gamma : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_n^+) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$ and $D : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_n^+) \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_n^+)$ be the maps defined by $\Gamma(q) = u$ and $D(q) = \triangle$, where q, u, and $\triangle$ are related by (4.10) as in Proposition 4.3.

Corollary 4.5. *(*[4]*) Let $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$, $j \geq 1$, and $P_{2j-1,0}(u)$ be defined by* (4.6). *Then there exists a unique differential polynomial $\eta_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N}_n^+)$ of $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$ such that*

$$[\partial_x + b + u, P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u)] \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n). \tag{4.11}$$

The $(2j-1)$-th flow (4.7) induces a quotient flow on the cross section $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$ by projecting the solutions to the cross section along orbits. This quotient flow is the *$(2j-1)$-th $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flow*,

$$u_{t_{2j-1}} = [\partial_x + b + u, P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u)] \tag{4.12}$$

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\pi_0 : o(n+1,n) \to V_n^t$ be the projection defined by* (3.5), *and P_u the operator defined in Definition 3.5. Then*

(i) $P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u) = P_u(\pi_0(P_{2j-1,0}(u)),\ \pi_0(P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u)) = \pi_0(P_{2j-1,0}(u))$,

(ii) *the* $(2j-1)$*-th* $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$*-KdV flow* (4.12) *can be written as*

$$u_{t_{2j-1}} = [\partial_x + b + u, P_u(\pi_0(P_{2j-1,0}(u)))], \tag{4.13}$$

where $P_{2j-1,0}(u)$ *is given in* (4.6).

Proof. Since $\eta_j(u)$ is strictly upper triangular, we have

$$\pi_0(P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u)) = \pi_0(P_{2j-1,0}(u)).$$

Then (i) and (ii) follows from (4.11) and Corollary 3.6. □

It follows from the construction of the $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flows that we have the following.

Proposition 4.7. *Let* u*,* $P(u,\lambda)$*,* $P_{2j-1,0}(u)$*, and* $\eta_j(u)$ *be the differential polynomials given in Corollary 4.5. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) u *is a solution of the* $(2j-1)$*-th* $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$*-KdV flow* (4.12).
(ii) $[\partial_x + b + u, \partial_{t_{2j-1}} + P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u)] = 0$.
(iii) $[\partial_x + J_B + u, \partial_{t_{2j-1}} + (P^{2j-1}(u,\lambda))_+ - \eta_j(u)] = 0$ *for all parameters* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ *(this is the Lax pair for* (4.12)*).*
(iv) *The linear system*

$$\begin{cases} g^{-1}g_x = b + u, \\ g^{-1}g_t = P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u), \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

is solvable for $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to O(n+1,n)$.
(v) *For* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$*, the linear system*

$$\begin{cases} E^{-1}E_x = J_B + u, \\ E^{-1}E_t = (P^{2j-1}(u,\lambda))_+ - \eta_j(u), \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

is solvable for $E(\cdot,\cdot,\lambda) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to O_{\mathbb{C}}(n+1,n)$.

Definition 4.8. We call $E(x,t,\lambda) \in O_{\mathbb{C}}(n+1,n)$ a *frame* of the solution u of (4.12) if $E(x,t,\lambda)$ is holomorphic for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and is a solution of (4.15) satisfying

$$\overline{(E(x,t,\bar{\lambda}))} = E(x,t,\lambda).$$

The next two Propositions follow from the constructions of flows (4.7) and (4.12).

Proposition 4.9. *Let* $q : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathcal{B}_n^+$ *be a solution of* (4.7)*, and* $\triangle(\cdot,t) = D(q(\cdot,t))$*, where* D *is the operator defined in Definition 4.4. Then* $u = \triangle * q$ *is a solution of the* $(2j-1)$*-th* $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$*-KdV flow* (4.12)*, where the action* $*$ *is defined by* (4.9)*. Moreover, if* F *is a frame of the solution* q *of* (4.7)*, then* $E = F\triangle^{-1}$ *is a frame of the solution* u *of* (4.12).

Proposition 4.10. *Let u be a solution of (4.12). Suppose $\triangle : \mathbb{R}^2 \to N_n^+$ satisfying $\triangle_t \triangle^{-1} = \eta_j(u)$. Then $q(\cdot,t) = \triangle(\cdot,t)^{-1} * u(\cdot,t)$ is a solution of (4.7), where the action $*$ is defined by (4.9). Moreover, if E is a frame of the solution u of (4.12), then $F = E\triangle$ is a frame for the solution q of (4.7).*

Note that if $\triangle_t(x,t)\triangle^{-1}(x,t) = \eta_j(u)$ and $f(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_n^+)$, then $\tilde{\triangle}(x,t) = \triangle(x,t)f(x)$ also satisfies $\tilde{\triangle}_t\tilde{\triangle}^{-1} = \eta_j(u)$ and $\tilde{q} = \tilde{\triangle}^{-1} * u = f * q$ is again a solution of (4.7).

Next we use (4.5) and (4.11) to write down the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flows for small n and j.

Example 4.11. The $\hat{B}_1^{(1)}$-KdV hierarchy

In this case, $J_B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\lambda}{2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{\lambda}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. A direct computation implies that

$$P_{3,0}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} q_x & 0 & 0 \\ -q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -q & -q_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta_2(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^2 - q_{xx} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^2 - q_{xx} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(P^3(u,\lambda))_+ - \eta_2(u) = J_B^3 + \begin{pmatrix} q_x & q_{xx} - q^2 & 0 \\ -q & 0 & q_{xx} - q^2 \\ 0 & -q & -q_x \end{pmatrix}.$$

The third $\hat{B}_1^{(1)}$-KdV flow is the KdV $q_t = q_{xxx} - 3qq_x$. Since $sl(2,\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $o(2,1)$, the algebra $\hat{B}_1^{(1)}$ is isomorphic to $\hat{A}_1^{(1)}$. So the $\hat{B}_1^{(1)}$-KdV hierarchy is the KdV hierarchy under this isomorphism.

Example 4.12. The $\hat{B}_2^{(1)}$-KdV hierarchy

We have $J_B = \sum_{i=1}^4 e_{i+1,i} + \frac{\lambda}{2}(e_{14} + e_{25})$ and $u = u_1(e_{23} + e_{34}) + u_2(e_{14} + e_{25})$. Then

$$P_{3,0}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_2 & u_2' & u_2'' - \frac{1}{2}u_1u_2 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}u_1 & -\frac{1}{2}u_1' & \frac{1}{2}(u_1^2 - u_1'') + 2u_2 & 0 & u_2'' - \frac{1}{2}u_1u_2 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}u_1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(u_1^2 - u_1'') + 2u_2 & -u_2' \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}u_1 & \frac{1}{2}u_1' & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}u_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$P_{3,0}(u) - \eta_2(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_2 & u_2' & u_2'' - \frac{1}{2}u_1u_2 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2}u_1 & -\frac{1}{2}u_1' & \frac{1}{2}(u_1^2 - u_1'') + 2u_2 & 0 & u_2'' - \frac{1}{2}u_1u_2 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}u_1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(u_1^2 - u_1'') + 2u_2 & -u_2' \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}u_1 & \frac{1}{2}u_1' & u_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2}u_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the third flow is

$$\begin{cases}(u_1)_t = -\frac{1}{2}u_1^{(3)} + \frac{3}{2}u_1(u_1)_x + 3(u_2)_x,\\ (u_2)_t = u_2^{(3)} - \frac{3}{2}u_1(u_2)_x.\end{cases}$$

Example 4.13. Although we do not have the explicit formula for $P_{3,0}(u)$ for general $n \geq 3$, a direct computation implies that the first column of $P_{3,0}(u)$ is $(0, -\frac{3}{n}u_1, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)^t$.

Next we construct the $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$**-KdV hierarchy**. Let

$$\hat{A}_{2n}^{(1)} = \left\{\xi(\lambda) = \sum_{i\leq n_0}\xi_i\lambda^i \mid \xi_i \in sl(2n+1,\mathbb{C}), \overline{\xi(\bar{\lambda})} = \xi(\lambda)\right\},$$

$$(\hat{A}_{2n}^{(1)})_+ = \left\{\sum_{i\geq 0}\xi_i\lambda^i \in \hat{A}_{2n}^{(1)}\right\}, \quad (\hat{A}_{2n}^{(1)})_- = \left\{\sum_{i<0}\xi_i\lambda^i \in \hat{A}_{2n}^{(1)}\right\}.$$

Let C_n be as in (1.1). Set

$$\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)} = \{\xi(\lambda) \in \hat{A}_{2n}^{(1)} \mid C_n\xi^t(-\lambda)C_n + \xi(\lambda) = 0\},$$
$$(\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)})_\pm = \hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)} \cap (\hat{A}_{2n}^{(1)})_\pm.$$

Then $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)} = (\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)})_+ \oplus (\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)})_-$. Note that $\xi(\lambda) = \sum_{i\leq n_0}\xi_i\lambda^i \in \hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$ if and only if $\xi_{2i} \in o_{\mathbb{C}}(n+1,n)$ and $C_n\xi_{2i+1}^tC_n = \xi_{2i+1}$.

Set

$$J = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} e_{i+1,i}\right) + e_{1,2n+1}\lambda = b + e_{1,2n+1}\lambda. \tag{4.16}$$

Then $J^{2n+1} = \lambda \mathrm{I}_{2n+1}$, $J^{2i} \notin \hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$, and $J^{2j-1} \in (\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)})_+$. So the splitting of $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$ and J^{2j-1} produce $(2j-1)$-th flow with $(2j-1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(2n+1)}$.

A direct computation implies that given $q \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_n^+)$, there exists a unique $S(q,\lambda) \in \hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$ such that

$$\begin{cases}[\partial_x + J + q, S(q,\lambda)] = 0,\\ S(q,\lambda) \text{ is conjugate to } J.\end{cases} \tag{4.17}$$

Write $S(u,\lambda)^{2j-1}$ as a power series of λ,

$$S^{2j-1}(q,\lambda) = \sum_{i\leq[\frac{2j-1}{2n+1}]+1} S_{2j-1,i}(q)\lambda^i. \tag{4.18}$$

Then coefficients $S_{2j-1,i}(q)$'s are differential polynomials in q.

Assume $j \geq 0$ and $(2j-1) \not\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}(2\mathrm{n}+1))$. Then

$$q_{t_{2j-1}} = [\partial_x + b + q, S_{2j-1,0}(q)], \tag{4.19}$$

is the flow on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_n^+)$ constructed from the splitting $(\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)})_\pm$ and J^{2j-1}. These flows commute.

Again the group $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_n^+)$ acts on $\partial + J + C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_n^+)$ by gauge transformations with $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$ a cross section, and (4.19) is invariant under this action. Hence flow (4.19) induces a quotient flow on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$. We call this quotient flow the *$(2j-1)$-th $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV flow*. In fact, given $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$, and $(2j-1) \not\equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}(2n+1))$, there exists a unique differential polynomial $\tilde{\eta}_j(u) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N}_n^+)$ such that

$$[\partial_x + J + u, S_{2j-1,0}(u) - \tilde{\eta}_j(u)] \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n), \tag{4.20}$$

where $S_{2j-1,0}(u)$ is defined by (4.18).

The $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV flow is

$$u_{t_{2j-1}} = [\partial_x + b + u, S_{2j-1}(u) - \tilde{\eta}_j(u)], \tag{4.21}$$

where $S_{2j-1,0}(u)$ is defined as by (4.18) and $\tilde{\eta}_j(u)$ is as in (4.20).

Note that the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV flow can be written as

$$u_{t_{2j-1}} = [\partial_x + b + u, P_u(\pi_0(S_{2j-1,0}(u))], \tag{4.22}$$

where P_u is as defined in Definition 3.5 and π_0 is the projection as in (3.5).

Proposition 4.14.
The following statements are equivalent for $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, V_n)$:

(1) *u is a solution of* (4.21).

(2)
$$[\partial_x + J + u, \partial_{t_{2j-1}} + (S^{2j-1}(u,\lambda))_+ - \tilde{\eta}_j(u)] = 0, \tag{4.23}$$
for all parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

(3)
$$[\partial_x + b + u, \partial_{t_{2j-1}} + S_{2j-1,0}(u) - \tilde{\eta}_j(u)] = 0, \tag{4.24}$$

(4) *The linear system*
$$\begin{cases} g^{-1}g_t = b + u, \\ g^{-1}g_t = S_{2j-1,0}(u) - \tilde{\eta}_j(u), \end{cases} \tag{4.25}$$
is solvable for $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, O(n+1,n))$.

(5) *The linear system*
$$\begin{cases} E^{-1}E_x = J + u, \\ E^{-1}E_t = (S^{2j-1}(u,\lambda))_+ - \tilde{\eta}_j(u), \end{cases} \tag{4.26}$$
is solvable for $E(\cdot,\cdot,\lambda) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, O_{\mathbb{C}}(n+1,n))$ for all parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

We call a solution $E(x,t,\lambda)$ of (4.26) a *frame* of the solution u of the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV flow if $E(x,t,\lambda)$ is holomorphic for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and satisfies

$$E(x,t,\lambda)^{-1} = C_n E^t(x,t,-\lambda) C_n, \quad \overline{E(x,t,\bar{\lambda})} = E(x,t,\lambda).$$

Similarly, we have the following.

Proposition 4.15. *If $q:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathcal{B}_n^+$ is a solution of (4.19), then $u=\triangle * q$ is a solution of the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV flow (4.21), where $\triangle(\cdot,t)=D(q(\cdot,t))$ and D is the operator defined in Definition 4.4. Moreover, if F is a frame of the solution q of (4.19), then $E=F\triangle^{-1}$ is a frame of the solution u of (4.21).*

Proposition 4.16. *Let u be a solution of (4.21), and $\triangle:\mathbb{R}^2\to N_n^+$ satisfying $\triangle_t\triangle^{-1}=\tilde{\eta}_j(u)$. Then $q(\cdot,t)=\triangle(\cdot,t)^{-1}*u(\cdot,t)$ is a solution of (4.19). Moreover, if E is a frame of the solution u of (4.21), then $F=E\triangle$ is a frame for the solution q of (4.19).*

If $\triangle_t\triangle^{-1}=\tilde{\eta}_j(u)$ and $f\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},N_n^+)$, then $\triangle_1(x,t)=\triangle(x,t)f(x)$ also satisfies $(\triangle_1)_t\triangle_1^{-1}=\tilde{\eta}_j(u)$ and $q_1=\triangle_1*u$ is also a solution of (4.19).

Below we write down $S_{2j-1,0}(u)$ and $\tilde{\eta}_j(u)$ for small n and j.

Example 4.17. The $\hat{A}_2^{(2)}$-KdV hierarchy

Here $u=q(e_{12}+e_{23})$, and

$$S_{5,0}(u)=\begin{pmatrix}-\frac{1}{9}(q_{xxx}-8qq_x) & a & 0\\ \frac{1}{9}(q_{xx}-4q^2) & 0 & a\\ 0 & \frac{1}{9}(q_{xx}-4q^2) & \frac{1}{9}(q_{xxx}-8qq_x)\end{pmatrix},$$
$$\tilde{\eta}_3(u)=\begin{pmatrix}0&\theta&0\\0&0&\theta\\0&0&0\end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$a=-\frac{1}{27}q^{(4)}-\frac{7}{27}qq_{xx}+\frac{1}{3}q_x^2+\frac{4}{81}q^3,$$
$$\theta=\frac{1}{27}(2q^{(4)}-34qq_{xx}-15q_x^2+\frac{40}{3}q^3).$$

Therefore,

$$(S^5(u,\lambda))_+-\tilde{\eta}_3(u)$$
$$=J^5+\begin{pmatrix}-\frac{q}{3}\lambda-\frac{1}{9}(q^{(3)}-8qq_x) & -\frac{q_x}{3}\lambda+\zeta & -\frac{1}{9}(2q_{xx}-5q^2)\lambda\\ \frac{1}{9}(q_{xx}-4q^2) & \frac{2q}{3}\lambda & \frac{q_x}{3}\lambda+\zeta\\ 0 & \frac{1}{9}(q_{xx}-4q^2) & -\frac{q}{3}+\frac{1}{9}(q^{(3)}-8qq_x)\lambda\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\zeta=-\frac{1}{9}(q^{(4)}-9qq_x-8q_x^2+4q^3)$. It follows that the fifth $\hat{A}_2^{(2)}$-KdV flow is the KK equation (1.7) given in [5] and [6].

Example 4.18. The $\hat{A}_4^{(2)}$-KdV hierarchy

We have $u=u_1(e_{23}+e_{35})+u_2(e_{14}+e_{25})$, the third $\hat{A}_4^{(2)}$-KdV flow is

$$\begin{cases}(u_1)_t=-2(u_1)^{(3)}+\frac{7}{2}u_2'+\frac{12}{5}u_1u_1',\\ (u_2)_t=-\frac{5}{4}u_2^{(3)}-\frac{3}{5}(u_1^{(5)}-6u_1u_1^{(3)}+2u_1u_2'-3u_1'u_2).\end{cases}\tag{4.27}$$

And

$$(S^3(u,\lambda))_+ - \tilde{\eta}_2(u) = J^3 + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{5}(u_1)_x & * & * & * & * \\ -\frac{1}{5}u_1 & -\frac{4}{5}(u_1)_x & * & * & * \\ 0 & \frac{4}{5}u_1 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{5}u_1 & \frac{4}{5}(u_1)_x & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & -\frac{1}{5}u_1 & \frac{3}{5}(u_1)_x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that the j-th Gelfand-Dickey (GD_n) flow is

$$L_{t_j} = [(L^{\frac{j}{n}})_+, L] \tag{4.28}$$

for $L = \partial^n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i \partial^{i-1}$, where $(L^{\frac{j}{n}})_+$ is the differential operator component of the pseudo differential operator $L^{\frac{j}{n}}$ (cf. [3]). Next we show that the $\hat{A}^{(2)}_{2n}$-KdV hierarchy is a reduction of the GD_n hierarchy (cf. [3]).

Let L^* be the formal adjoint of L under the L^2-norm. For example,

$$\partial^* = -\partial \tag{4.29a}$$

$$f^* = f, \quad f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}). \tag{4.29b}$$

It can be checked that

$$\mathcal{D}^s_{2n+1} = \{L = \partial^{2n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} v_i \partial^{i-1} \mid L^* = -L\}, \tag{4.30}$$

is invariant under the $(2j-1)$-th GD_{2n+1} flow, and the induced constraint flow is called the $(2j-1)$-*th constraint KP flow* (cf. [2]). Note that $\mathcal{D}^s_{2n+1}$ is equal to

$$\{L = \partial^{2n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\partial^{n+1-i} u_i \partial^{n-i} + \partial^{n-i} u_i \partial^{n+1-i}) \mid u_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})\}. \tag{4.31}$$

Consider the matrix eigenvalue problem,

$$(\partial_x + J + u)y = 0, \tag{4.32}$$

where J is as (4.16), $u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \beta_i$ and $y = (y_1, \cdots, y_{2n+1})^t$. Then the equation for y_{2n+1} is

$$(\partial^{2n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\partial^{n+1-i} u_i \partial^{n-i} + \partial^{n-i} u_i \partial^{n+1-i}))y_{2n+1} + \lambda y_{2n+1} = 0.$$

A ∂-module structure on $\hat{A}^{(1)}_{2n}$ was introduced in [4] to show that $q = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} q_i e_{i,2n+1}$ is a solution of the j-th $\hat{A}^{(1)}_{2n}$-KdV flow if and only if $\partial^{2n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{2n} q_i \partial^{i-1}$ is a solution of the j-th GD_{2n+1} flow. It can be checked that $\hat{A}^{(2)}_{2n}$ is a sub ∂-module of $\hat{A}^{(1)}_{2n}$ and a similar proof as in [4] gives the following.

Proposition 4.19. *$u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i\beta_i$ is a solution of the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{A}^{(2)}_{2n}$-flow (4.21) if and only if $\partial^{2n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\partial^{n+1-i}u_i\partial^{n-i} + \partial^{n-i}u_i\partial^{n+1-i})$ is a solution of the $(2j-1)$-th constraint KP flow.*

5. Hierarchies of isotropic curve flows

In this section, we prove result (a) stated in the introduction.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $P_{2j-1,0}(u)$ be as in (4.6), and $S_{2j-1,0}(u)$ as in (4.18). Then*

$$\gamma_t = gP_{2j-1,0}(u)e_1, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\gamma_t = gS_{2j-1,0}(u)e_1. \tag{5.2}$$

are isotropic curve flows on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$, where $g(\cdot,t)$ and $u(\cdot,t)$ are the isotropic moving frame and curvature of $\gamma(\cdot,t)$. We call (5.3) and (5.4) the $(2j-1)$-th isotropic curve flow on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ of B-type and A-type respectively.

Proof. Let $Q_{2j-1}(u) = P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u)$, where $\eta_j(u)$ is as in (4.11). By (4.11), $[\partial_x + b + u, Q_{2j-1}(u)] \in V_n$. It follows from Proposition 3.1 that $\xi(\gamma) = gQ_{2j-1}(u)e_1$ is tangent to $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ at γ. Since $\eta_j(u)$ is strictly upper triangular, $Q_{2j-1}(u)e_1 = P_{2j-1,0}(u)e_1$. Therefore (5.3) is an isotropic curve flow on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$. A similar proof shows that (5.4) is an isotropic flow on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$. □

Since $\eta_j(u)$ and $\tilde{\eta}_j(u)$ are strictly upper triangular, (5.1) and (5.2) can be written as

$$\gamma_t = g(P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u))e_1, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\gamma_t = g(S_{2j-1,0}(u) - \tilde{\eta}_j(u))e_1. \tag{5.4}$$

Example 5.2. Isotropic curve flows of B-type

(i) It follows from Example 4.13 that the third isotropic curve flow of B-type on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ is (1.8), i.e.,

$$\gamma_t = -\frac{3}{n}u_1\gamma_x + \gamma_x^{(3)}.$$

In particular, it is (1.5) when $n = 1$, and is (3.6) when $n = 2$.

(ii) By Example 4.11, the fifth isotropic curve flow of B-type on $\mathcal{M}_{3,2}$ is

$$\gamma_t = (q_{xxx} - 3qq_x)\gamma + (\frac{3}{2}q^2 - q_{xx})\gamma_x. \tag{5.5}$$

Example 5.3. Isotropic curve flows of A-Type

(i) The third isotropic curve flow of A-type on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}(n \geq 3)$ is (1.9),

$$\gamma_t = -\frac{3}{2n+1}(u_1)_x\gamma - \frac{6}{2n+1}u_1\gamma_x + \gamma_x^{(3)}. \tag{5.6}$$

(ii) By Example 4.18,

$$\gamma_t = -\frac{3}{5}(u_1)_x\gamma - \frac{1}{5}u_1\gamma_x + p_4 \tag{5.7}$$

is the third isotropic curve flow of A-type on $\mathcal{M}_{3,2}$. But $p_4 = \gamma_x^{(3)} - u_1\gamma_x$ (given in Example 2.7). So (5.7) can be also written as (5.6) with $n = 2$.

(iii) It follows from the formula of $S_{5,0}(u)$ given in Example 4.17 that the fifth isotropic curve flow of A-type on $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ is (1.6).

Theorem 5.4.

(i) *If γ is a solution of the $(2j-1)$-th isotropic curve flow* (5.3) *of B-type (*(5.4) *of A-type resp.) on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$, then its isotropic curvature u is a solution of the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flow* (4.12) *($\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV flow* (4.21) *resp.).*

(ii) *Let u be a solution of* (4.12) *(*(4.21) *resp.), $c_0 \in O(n+1,n)$ a constant, and g the solution of* (4.14) *(*(4.25) *resp.) with $g(0,0) = c_0$. Then $\gamma(x,t) := g(x,t)e_1$ is solution of the $(2j-1)$-th isotropic curve flow of B-type* (5.3) *(A-type* (5.4) *resp.) with isotropic curvature $u(\cdot,t)$ and $g(\cdot,t)$ is the isotropic moving frame along $\gamma(\cdot,t)$.*

Proof. We prove this theorem for (5.3). The same proof works for (5.4).

(i) Let $g(\cdot,t)$ be the isotropic moving frame, and $u(\cdot,t)$ the isotropic curvature along $\gamma(\cdot,t)$. Then $g^{-1}g_x = b + u$. Set $\xi = g^{-1}g_t$. Hence $b+u$ and ξ satisfy the zero curvature condition, i.e., $[\partial_x + b + u, \partial_t + \xi] = 0$. So we have $u_t = [\partial_x + b + u, \xi]$. Since u_t lies in V_n, ξ satisfies condition (3.1). Note that the first columns of ξ and $Q_{2j-1}(u) := P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u)$ are the same. So by Corollary 3.7, $\xi = Q_{2j-1}(u)$. By Proposition 4.7, u is a solution of (4.12).

(ii) Since $g^{-1}g_x = b + u$, g is the isotropic moving frame along $\gamma(\cdot,t)$. By assumption, $g^{-1}g_t = Q_{2j-1}(u)$. Hence $g_t = gQ_{2j-1}(u)$. Note that the first column of g is γ. So we have $\gamma_t = gQ_{2j-1}(u)e_1$. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n} \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$ be the isotropic curvature map. Then Ψ maps the space of solutions of* (5.3) *(*(5.4) *respectively) modulo $O(n+1,n)$ bijectively onto the space of solutions of* (4.12) *(*(4.21) *respectively).*

The following Theorem is a consequence of Theorem 5.4.

Theorem 5.6. [Cauchy problem on the line]
Let $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ with rapidly decaying isotropic curvature u_0, and $g_0 \in O(n+1,n)$ the isotropic moving frame alogn γ_0. Let $u(x,t)$ be the solution of the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flow (4.12) *($\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV flow* (4.21) *resp.) with initial date $u(x,0) = u_0(x)$, and g the solution of* (4.14) *(*(4.25) *resp.) with $g(0,0) = g_0(0)$. Then $\gamma(x,t) = g(x,t)e_1$ is a solution of* (5.3) *(*(5.4)

respectively) with $\gamma(x,0)=\gamma_0(x)$ and $\gamma(\cdot,t)$ has rapidly decaying isotropic curvatures.

If the solution of the periodic Cauchy problem for (4.12) is solved, then we can use a similar proof as for the $\hat{A}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flows (cf. [11]) to solve the periodic Cauchy problem for (4.14), i.e., we have the following.

Theorem 5.7. [Cauchy problem with periodic initial data] *Suppose $\gamma_0\in\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ is periodic, g_0 and u_0 are the isotropic moving frame and curvature along γ_0. Let $u(x,t)$ be the solution of the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flow (4.12) ($\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV flow (4.21) resp.) periodic in x such that $u(x,0)=u_0(x)$, and $g(x,t)$ the solution of (4.14) ((4.25) resp.) with $g(0,0)=g_0(0)$. Then $\gamma(x,t)=g(x,t)e_1$ is a solution of (5.3) ((5.4) resp.) with $\gamma(x,0)=\gamma_0(x)$. Moreover, $\gamma(\cdot,t)$ is periodic in x with isotropic curvature $u(\cdot,t)$.*

6. Bi-Hamiltonian structure for isotropic curve flows

In this section, we first explain how to compute the bi-Hamiltonian structure and conservation laws for the $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV and $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV hierarchies. Then we pull back these bi-Hamiltonian structures and conservation laws by the isotropic curvature map Ψ to get bi-Hamiltonian structures and conservation laws for isotropic curve flows.

The gradient $\nabla F(u)\in C^\infty(S^1,V_n^t)$ for a functional F on $C^\infty(S^1,V_n)$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{d}F_u(v)=\langle\nabla F(u),v\rangle=\oint_{S^1}\mathrm{tr}(v\nabla F(u))\mathrm{d}x$$

for all $v\in C^\infty(S^1,V_n)$.

If $\{\,,\}$ is a Poisson structure on $C^\infty(S^1,V_n)$, then the Hamiltonian vector field X_F for F with respect to $\{\,,\}$ is defined by

$$\{F,H\}(u)=-\langle X_F(u),\nabla H(u)\rangle \tag{6.1}$$

for all functionals H.

The bi-Hamiltonian structure on $C^\infty(S^1,V_n)$ for the $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV hierarchy given in [4] can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\{F_1,F_2\}_1(u)&=\langle[\beta,P_u(\nabla F_1(u))],P_u(\nabla F_2(u))\rangle,\\ \{F_1,F_2\}_2(u)&=\langle[\partial_x+b+u,P_u(\nabla F_1(u))],P_u(\nabla F_2(u))\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where β is defined by (4.2) and $P_u: C^\infty(S^1,V_n^t)\to C^\infty(S^1,o(n+1,n))$ is the linear operator defined in Definition 3.5. Use (6.1) to see that the Hamiltonian vector field Y_F of a functional F with respect to $\{\,,\}_2$ is

$$Y_F(u)=[\partial_x+b+u,P_u(\nabla F(u))]. \tag{6.2}$$

We have explained how to compute $P_u(\xi)$ in section 3. So we can compute the Hamiltonian vector field X_F of F with respect $\{\,,\}_1$.

Example 6.1. Bi-Hamiltonian structure for the $\hat{B}_1^{(1)}$-KdV hierarchy Write $\tilde{\xi} = \nabla F_1(u) = \xi(e_{21} + e_{32})$, $\tilde{\eta} = \eta(e_{21} + e_{32})$, $C = P_u(\tilde{\xi}) = (C_{ij})$ and $D = P_u(\eta) = (D_{ij})$. We use Example 3.8 to get C and D in terms of ξ and η respectively. So we have

$$\{F_1, F_2\}_1(u) = \langle [C, \beta], D\rangle = -2 \oint \xi_x \eta dx,$$

$$\{F_1, F_2\}_2(u) = \langle [\partial_x + b + u, C], D\rangle = -2 \oint (\xi_{xxx} - 2u_1\xi_x - (u_1)_x\xi)\eta dx.$$

This is the standard bi-Hamiltonian structure for the KdV-hierarchy [3].

Example 6.2. Bi-Hamiltonian structure for the $\hat{B}_2^{(1)}$-KdV hierarchy Write $\xi = \xi_1(e_{32} + e_{43}) + \xi_2(e_{41} + e_{52})$, $\eta = \eta_1(e_{32} + e_{43}) + \eta_2(e_{41} + e_{52})$, $C = P_u(\xi) = (C_{ij})$ and $D = P_u(\eta) = (D_{ij})$. We use Example 3.9 to get C and D in terms of ξ and η respectively, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\{F_1, F_2\}_1(u) &= \langle [C, \beta_2], D\rangle \\ &= (C_{11} + C_{22})\eta_2 + C_{31}\eta_1 - \xi_1 D_{31} - \xi_2(D_{11} + D_{22}) \\ &= -2 \oint ((\xi_2)_x^{(3)} + 2(\xi_1)_x - (u_1\xi_2)_x - u_1(\xi_2)_x)\eta_2 + 2(\xi_2)_x\eta_1 dx,\end{aligned}$$

$$\{F_1, F_2\}_2(u) = \langle [\partial_x + b + u, C], D\rangle = 2 \oint p_1\eta_2 + p_2\eta_1 dx,$$

where $p_1 = [\partial_x + b + u, C]_{14}$ is a 7-th ordered differential polynomial in ξ_1, ξ_2, and $p_2 = [\partial_x + b + u, C]_{23}$ is a 5-th ordered differential polynomial in $\xi_1.\xi_2$.

The following theorem can be proved the same way as for the $\hat{A}_n^{(1)}$-KdV hierarchy (cf. [11]).

Theorem 6.3. *Let $u \in \mathbb{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$, β as in (4.2), and $P(u, \lambda)$ defined by (4.5). Then we have*

$$\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda^{-1}P^{2j-1}(u, \lambda)), \delta u\rangle = \delta\langle \lambda^{-1}P^{2j-1}(u, \lambda), \beta\rangle = \langle \delta P^{2j-1}(u, \lambda), \beta\lambda^{-1}\rangle. \tag{6.3}$$

Theorem 6.4. *Let $u, \beta, P(u, \lambda)$ be as in Theorem 6.3. Write $P^{2j-1}(u, \lambda) = \sum_i P_{2j-1,i}(u)\lambda^i$ as a power series in λ. Set*

$$F_{2j-1}(u) = -\oint \operatorname{tr}(P_{2j-1,-1}(u)\beta)\mathrm{d}x. \tag{6.4}$$

Then $\nabla F_{2j-1}(u) = \pi_0(P_{2j-1,0}(u))$, where π_0 is the projection onto V_n^t defined by (3.5). Moreover, the Hamiltonian equation for F_{2j-1} with respect to $\{\,,\,\}_2$ ($\{\,,\,\}_1$ resp.) is the $(2j-1)$-th ($(2(j-n)-1)$-th resp.) $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flow.

Proof. Compare the coefficient of λ^{-2} of (6.3) to obtain the formula for ∇F_{2j-1}.

By Corollary 4.6, we have $P_u(\pi_0(P_{2j-1,0}(u)) = P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u)$. So

$$P_u(\nabla F_{2j-1}(u)) = P_{2j-1,0}(u, \lambda) - \eta_j(u). \tag{6.5}$$

It follows from (6.2) that the Hamiltonian flow for F_{2j-1} with respect to $\{\,\}_2$ is the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flow.

Let $X_{2n+2j-1}$ denote the Hamiltonian vector field of $F_{2n+2j-1}$ with respect to $\{\,,\}_1$. Compute directly to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{F, F_{2n+2j-1}\}_1(u) = -\langle X_{2n+2j-1}(u), \nabla F(u)\rangle \\
&= -\langle [\beta, P_u(\nabla F_{2n+2j-1}(u))], P_u(\nabla F(u))\rangle, \text{ by 6.5,} \\
&= -\langle [\beta, P_{2n+2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_{n+j}(u)], P_u(\nabla F(u))\rangle, \quad \text{since } [\beta, \eta_j(u)] = 0, \\
&= -\langle [\beta, P_{2n+2j-1,0}(u)], P_u(\nabla F(u))\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Compare coefficient of λ of the equation (4.5) to get

$$[\partial_x + b + u, P_{2n+2j-1,1}(u)] = [P_{2n+2j-1,0}(u), \beta].$$

It follows from (4.4) that we have

$$P^{2n+2j-1}(u,\lambda) = \lambda P^{2j-1}(u,\lambda). \tag{6.6}$$

Compare coefficient λ of (6.6) to get $P_{2n+2j-1,1}(u) = P_{2j-1,0}(u)$. So we have

$$\{F, F_{2n+2j-1}\}_1(u) = \langle [\partial_x + b + u, P_{2j-1,0}(u)], P_u(\nabla F(u))\rangle.$$

Since $\eta_j(u) \in \mathcal{N}_n^+$ and $[\partial_x + b + u, P_u(\nabla F(u))] \in V_n$,

$$\langle [\partial_x + b + u, \eta_j(u)], P_u(\nabla F(u))\rangle = -\langle \eta_j(u), [\partial_x + b + u, P_u(\nabla F(u))] = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\{F, F_{2n+2j-1}\}_1(u) = \langle [\partial_x + b + u, P_u(\nabla F_{2j-1}(u))], P_u(\nabla F(u))]\rangle.$$

By the definition of P_u, we have $[\partial_x + b + u, P_u(\nabla F_{2j-1}(u))] \in V_n$ and $\pi_0(P_u(\nabla F(u)) = \nabla F(u)$. Hence

$$\{F, F_{2n+2j-1}\}_1(u) = \langle [\partial_x + b + u, P_u(\nabla F_{2j-1}(u))], \nabla F(u)\rangle.$$

This proves that the Hamiltonian flow for $F_{2(j+n)-1}$ is the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flow. □

Example 6.5. Conservation laws for the $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV hierarchy

Let $h_{2j-1}(u) = \mathrm{tr}(P_{2j-1,-1}(u)\beta)$ denote the density of the conservation law F_{2j-1}.

(1) For $n = 1$, we have $u = q(e_{12} + e_{23})$ and

$$h_1 = 2q, \quad h_3 = q^2, \quad h_5 = \frac{1}{2}(q^3 - qq_{xx}).$$

(2) For $n = 2$, we have $u = u_1(e_{23} + e_{34}) + u_2(e_{14} + e_{25})$ and

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{2}u_1, \quad h_3 = \frac{1}{8}u_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_2.$$

(3) For general n, we have

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{n}u_1, \quad h_3 = \frac{2n-3}{2n^2}u_1^2 + \frac{1}{n}u_2.$$

We use the same proofs for the $\hat{A}_n^{(1)}$-KdV hierarchy to prove the following results for the $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV.

Theorem 6.6. *Let $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, V_n)$, and $S(u,\lambda)$ defined by (4.18). Then*

$$\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda^{-1} S^{2j-1}(u,\lambda)), \delta u\rangle = \lambda^{-1}\langle \delta S^{2j-1}(u,\lambda), e_{1,2n+1}\rangle.$$

Theorem 6.7. *Let $u, S(u,\lambda)$ be as in Theorem 6.6, and $S^{2j-1}(u,\lambda) = \sum_i S_{2j-1,i}(u)\lambda^i$. Set $h_{2j-1}(u) = tr(S_{2j-1,-1}(u)e_{1,2n+1})$, and*

$$G_{2j-1}(u) = -\oint h_{2j-1}(u)\mathrm{d}x. \tag{6.7}$$

Then $\nabla G_{2j-1}(u) = \pi_0(S_{2j-1,0}(u))$, where π_0 is the projection defined by (3.5). Moreover, the Hamiltonian flow for G_{2j-1} with respect to $\{\,,\}_2$ ($\{\,,\}_1$ resp.) is the $(2j-1)$-th ($(2(j-n)-1)$-th resp.) $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV flow.

Example 6.8. Conservation laws for the $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV hierarchy

(1) For the $\hat{A}_2^{(2)}$-KdV hierarchy, $u = q(e_{12} + e_{23})$, we have

$$h_1(u) = \frac{2}{3}q, \quad h_3(u) = \frac{5}{27}(q_x^2 + \frac{8}{3}q^3).$$

(2) For general n, the first two conservation densities are

$$h_1(u) = \frac{2}{2n+1}u_1, \quad h_3(u) = \frac{2}{2n+1}u_2 + \frac{4(n-1)}{(2n+1)^2}u_1^2$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}(S^1)$ denote the space of $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ that is periodic with period 2π. Then we have the following.

(i) The isotropic curvature map $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}(S^1) \to C^\infty(S^1, V_n)$ induces an injective map from the orbit space $\frac{\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}(S^1)}{O(n+1,n)}$ to $C^\infty(S^1, V_n)$.
(ii) The isotropic curve flows are invariant under $O(n+1,n)$.
(iii) Suppose $\gamma_t = g\xi(u)$ is an isotropic flow on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$. By Proposition 2.8, its curvature evolves as $u_t = [\partial_x + b + u, g^{-1}g_t]$, where $g(\cdot,t)$ is the isotropic moving frame. Moreover, it follows from Corollaries 3.6 and 3.7 that $g^{-1}g_t$ can be computed from $\xi(u)$.

We pull back the bi-Hamiltonian structure and conservation laws for the $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV and $\hat{A}_{2n}^{(2)}$-KdV hierarchies on $C^\infty(S^1, V_n)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}(S^1)$ by the isotropic curvature map Ψ for the isotropic curve flows. In particular, we have the following:

(a) A functional on $\frac{\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}(S^1)}{O(n+1,n)}$ can be viewed as an $O(n+1,n)$-invariant functional on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}(S^1)$. So it is of the form $\hat{F}(\gamma) = F(\Psi(\gamma))$ for some functional F on $C^\infty(S^1, V_n)$.
(b) Given functionals F, H on $C^\infty(S^1, V_n)$, let $\hat{F} = F \circ \Psi$ and $\hat{H} = H \circ \Psi$. Then the induced Poisson structure on $O(n+1,n)$-invariant

functionals on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}(S^1)$ is

$$\{\hat{F},\hat{H}\}_i^\wedge(\gamma)=\{F,H\}_i(\Psi(u)),\quad i=1,2.$$

The following is a consequence of Proposition 2.8, Corollary 2.9, and Corollary 3.6.

Theorem 6.9. *Let Ψ be the isotropic curvature map, and X_F the Hamiltonian vector field of $F: C^\infty(S^1,V_n)\to\mathbb{R}$ with respect to $\{\,,\}_1$. Then*

(i) *there exists $\xi_F(u)\in C^\infty(S^1,o(n+1,n))$ satisfying*

$$[\partial_x+b+u,\xi_F(u)]=X_F(u),$$

(ii) *the Hamiltonian equation for $\tilde{F}=F\circ\Psi$ on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ with respect to $\{\,,\}_1^\wedge$ ($\{\,,\}_2^\wedge$ resp.) is $\gamma_t=g\xi_F(u)e_1$ ($\gamma_t=gP_u(\nabla F(u))e_1$ resp.),*

where $g(\cdot,t)$ and $u(\cdot,t)$ are the isotropic curvature frame and isotropic curvature along $\gamma(\cdot,t)$.

In particular, the Hamiltonian flow for $\hat{F}_{2j-1}$ with respect to $\{\,,\}_2^\wedge$ ($\{\,,\}_1^\wedge$ resp.) on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ is the $(2j-1)$-th ($(2(j-n)-1)$-th resp.) isotropic curve flow of B-type. Similar statements hold for isotropic curve flow of A-type.

7. Bäcklund transformations for the $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flows

In this section, we first construct Bäcklund transformations (BTs) and a Permutability formula for flow (4.7) on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B}_n^+)$. Then we use the gauge equivalence to construct BTs for the corresponding quotient flow (4.12), i.e., the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flow. Since we also obtain the formula of the frame of the new solution constructed from BTs for (4.7), we can construct BTs for isotropic curve flows of B-type. If we apply BTs to the trivial solution of the isotropic curve flow (i.e., the solution whose isotropic curvatures are zero) repeatedly, then we can obtain infinitely many families of explicit soliton solutions.

Let $\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)}$ denote the group of smooth maps $f: S^1\to SL(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases}\overline{f(\bar{\lambda})}=f(\lambda),\\ f(\lambda)^tC_nf(\lambda)=C_n,\end{cases}\tag{7.1}$$

and $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_+$ the subgroup of $f\in\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)}$ that is the restriction of a holomorphic map on $\mathbb{C}$ to S^1, and $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_-$ the subgroup of $f\in\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)}$ that is the boundary value of a holomorphic map $\tilde{f}$ on $\epsilon^{-1}\le|\lambda|\le\infty$ for some small $\epsilon>0$ and $\tilde{f}(\infty)=\mathrm{I}$. Then the Lie algebras of $\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_\pm$ are $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_n^{(1)})_\pm$ respectively. If a soliton hierarchy is constructed from a splitting $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ of a loop algebra $\mathcal{L}$, then we can use the loop group factorization to constructing BTs (cf. [8]) as follows:

(1) Find *simple elements* (i.e., rational maps) $f\in(\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_-$ that have minimum number of poles.

(2) Given $f \in (\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_-$ and a frame $F(x,t,\cdot) \in (\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_+$ of a solution of (4.7), if we can factor $fF(x,t,\cdot) = \tilde{F}(x,t,\cdot)\tilde{f}(x,t,\cdot)$ with $\tilde{F}(x,t,\cdot) \in (\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_+$ and $\tilde{f}(x,t,\cdot) \in (\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_-$, then it was proved in [8] that $\tilde{F}$ is a frame of a new solution of (4.7).

We need the following Lemmas to construct rational elements in $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_-$.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n} = V_1 \oplus V_2$, and $V_i^\perp = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n} \mid \langle v, V_i\rangle = 0\}$. Let π be the projection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ onto V_1 along V_2, and $\pi^\sharp$ the projection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ onto $V_2^\perp$ along $V_1^\perp$. Then $\pi^\sharp = C_n\pi^t C_n$.*

Proof. Note that $\pi^\sharp$ is the conjugate of π with respect to $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. First, if $\langle \pi^\sharp X, Y\rangle = 0$ for all $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$, then $\langle X, \pi Y\rangle = 0$. Hence $\pi Y = \mathbf{0}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Im}(\pi^\sharp)^\perp \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\pi) = V_2$. Since $\pi^\sharp = C_n\pi^t C_n$ and $\dim(\mathrm{Im}(\pi^\sharp)) = \dim(\mathrm{Im}(\pi))$, we have $\mathrm{Im}(\pi^\sharp)^\perp = V_2$. Hence $\mathrm{Im}(\pi^\sharp) = V_2^\perp$.

If $Y \in \mathrm{Ker}(\pi^\sharp)$, then $\langle \pi X, Y\rangle = \langle X, \pi^\sharp Y\rangle = 0$ for all $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$. Hence $Y \in V_1^\perp$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi^\sharp) = V_1^\perp$. □

Lemma 7.2. *Let $V_i, \pi, \pi^\sharp$ be as in Lemma 7.1. Then $\pi\pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp\pi = \mathbf{0}$ if and only if*

$$V_1 \subset V_1^\perp, \quad V_2^\perp \subset V_2. \tag{7.2}$$

Proof. Since $V_2^\perp \subset V_2$, $\pi\pi^\sharp = \mathbf{0}$. It follows from $V_1 \subset V_1^\perp$ that we have $\pi^\sharp\pi = \mathbf{0}$. □

Let $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and π a projection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$. Set

$$h_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi} = I + \frac{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{\lambda-\alpha_1}(I-\pi) = \frac{\lambda-\alpha_2}{\lambda-\alpha_1}\mathrm{I} - \frac{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{\lambda-\alpha_1}\pi. \tag{7.3}$$

Proposition 7.3. *If $\pi\pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp\pi = 0$, then*

$$g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi} = h_{\alpha_2,\alpha_1,\pi^\sharp} h_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi}. \tag{7.4}$$

is in $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_-$.

Proof. By Lemma 7.2, we have $\pi\pi^\sharp = \pi^\sharp\pi = 0$. So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi} &= I + \frac{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}{\lambda-\alpha_2}\pi + \frac{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{\lambda-\alpha_1}\pi^\sharp, \\ g^{-1}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi} &= I + \frac{\alpha_2-\alpha_1}{\lambda-\alpha_2}\pi^\sharp + \frac{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{\lambda-\alpha_1}\pi = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi^\sharp}. \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation implies that $C_n g^{-1}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi} = g^t_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi} C_n$. □

Theorem 7.4. *Let $F(x,t,\cdot) \in (\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_+$ be a frame of a solution q of (4.7). Assume that $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n} = V_1 \oplus V_2$ and V_1, V_2 satisfy (7.2). Let π be the projection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ onto V_1 along V_2, and $\pi^\sharp = C_n\pi^t C_n$. Let $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi}$ as in (7.4), $\tilde{V}_i(x,t) = F(x,t,\alpha_i)^{-1}V_i, i = 1,2$, $\tilde{\pi}(x,t)$ the projection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ onto $\tilde{V}_1(x,t)$ along $\tilde{V}_2(x,t)$, and*

$$\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda) = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi}(\lambda) F(x,t,\lambda) g^{-1}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}(x,t)}(\lambda).$$

Then

$$\tilde{q} = q + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)[\beta, \tilde{\pi} - \tilde{\pi}^\sharp]$$

is a new solution of (4.7) *and* $\tilde{F}(x,t,\cdot) \in (\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_+$ *is a frame for* $\tilde{q}$ *. (We will use* $g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi}\sharp q$ *to denote the new solution* $\tilde{q}$*).*

Proof. Since $F(x,t,\cdot) \in (\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_+$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $F(x,t,\alpha_i) \in O(n+1,n)$. So

$$\langle \tilde{V}_1, \tilde{V}_1 \rangle = \langle F(x,t,\alpha_1)^{-1}V_1, F(x,t,\alpha_1)^{-1}V_1 \rangle = \langle V_1, V_1 \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore, $\tilde{V}_1 \subset \tilde{V}_1^\perp$.

If $v \in \tilde{V}_2^\perp$, then

$$\langle F(x,t,\alpha_2)v, Y \rangle = \langle v, F(x,t,\alpha_2)^{-1}Y \rangle = 0, \quad \forall\ Y \in V_2.$$

Hence $F(x,t,\alpha_2)v \in V_2^\perp$. This implies that

$$\tilde{V}_2^\perp \subset F(x,t,\alpha_2)^{-1}(V_2^\perp) \subset F(x,t,\alpha_2)^{-1}(V_2) = \tilde{V}_2.$$

By Lemma 7.2 and Proposition 7.3, $g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}(x,t)} \in (\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_-$.

Next we claim that $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda)$ is holomorphic for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows from the formula of $\tilde{F}$ that $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda)$ is holomorphic for $\lambda \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2$. The residue of $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda)$ at α_1 is:

$$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\pi^\sharp F(x,t,\alpha_1)(I - \tilde{\pi}^\sharp) + (I - \pi)F(x,t,\alpha_1)\tilde{\pi}).$$

Since $\tilde{\pi}^\sharp$ is the projection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ onto $\tilde{V}_2^\perp$ along $\tilde{V}_1^\perp$, we have

$$\mathrm{Im}(F(x,t,\alpha_1)(I - \tilde{\pi}^\sharp)) \subset V_1^\perp, \quad \mathrm{Im}(F(x,t,\alpha_1)\tilde{\pi}) \subset V_1.$$

Hence $\pi^\sharp F(x,t,\alpha_1)(I - \tilde{\pi}^\sharp) + (I - \pi)F(x,t,\alpha_1)\tilde{\pi} = 0$. So $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda)$ is holomorphic at $\lambda = \alpha_1$.

A similar computation implies that the residue of $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda)$ at α_2,

$$(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(\pi F(x,t,\alpha_2)(I - \tilde{\pi}) + (I - \pi^\sharp)F(x,t,\alpha_2)\tilde{\pi}^\sharp) = 0.$$

So we have proved that $g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi}F(x,t,\cdot) = \tilde{F}(x,t,\cdot)g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}(x,t)}$ with $\tilde{F}(x,t,\cdot)$ in $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_+$ and $g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}(x,t)}$ in $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_-$. It follows from [8] that $\tilde{F}$ is a frame of a new solution $\tilde{q}$ of (4.7).

Note that $\tilde{F}^{-1}\tilde{F}_x = b + \tilde{q} + \lambda\beta$, $F^{-1}F_x = b + q + \lambda\beta$, and

$$\tilde{F}^{-1}\tilde{F}_x = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}}F^{-1}F_x g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}}^{-1} - (g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}})_x g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}}^{-1}.$$

So we have

$$(b + \tilde{q} + \beta\lambda)g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}} = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}}(b + q + \beta\lambda) - (g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}})_x.$$

Equate the constant term of the power series expansion of the above equation to get the formula for $\tilde{q}$. □

As a consequence of Proposition 4.9, Proposition 4.10, and Theorem 7.4, we obtain BTs for the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flow.

Theorem 7.5. *Let $E(x,t,\cdot) \in (\hat{\mathbb{B}}_n^{(1)})_+$ be a frame of a solution u of (4.12), $u_t = [\partial_x + b + u, P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u)]$, and $\triangle(x,t) \in N_n^+$ satisfying $\triangle_t \triangle^{-1} = \eta_j(u)$. Let $q = \triangle^{-1} * u$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi, \tilde{\pi}$ as in Theorem 7.4, and $\tilde{\triangle} = D(\tilde{q})$, where $*$ is the action defined by (4.9) and D is the operator given in Definition 4.4. Then*

(i) *q is a solution of (4.7),*
(ii) *let $\tilde{q} = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}} \sharp q$ be as in Theorem 7.4, and*

$$\tilde{E} = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi} E \triangle g^{-1}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}} \tilde{\triangle}^{-1}.$$

Then $\tilde{u} = \tilde{\triangle} * (g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi^\sharp}(\triangle^{-1} * u))$ is a solution of (4.12) and $\tilde{E}$ is a frame of $\tilde{u}$.

Theorem 7.6. *Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ be a solution of the $(2j-1)$-th isotropic curve flow (5.3) of B-type, $g(\cdot,t)$ the isotropic moving frame along $\gamma(\cdot,t)$, and $u(\cdot,t) = (g^{-1}g_x - b)$ the solution of the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{B}_n^{(1)}$-KdV flow (4.12) as in Theorem 5.4. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi, \pi^\sharp, \tilde{\pi}, \tilde{\pi}^\sharp, \triangle, \tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{E}$ be as in Theorem 7.5. Then $\tilde{\gamma}(x,t) = \tilde{E}(x,t,0)e_1$ is a solution of (5.3) with isotropic curvature $\tilde{u}$, where $e_1 = (1,0,\cdots,0)^t$.*

Proof. Let $E(x,t,\lambda)$ be the frame of the solution u of (4.12) satisfying $E(0,0,\lambda) = g(0,0)$. Note that $E(x,t,0)$ and $g(x,t)$ satisfy the same linear system,

$$\begin{cases} g^{-1}g_x = b + u, \\ g^{-1}g_t = P_{2j-1,0}(u) - \eta_j(u), \end{cases}$$

and have the same initial data. So $E(x,t,0) = g(x,t)$ for all x,t. By Theorem 7.5, $\tilde{E}$ is a frame of a new solution $\tilde{u}$. It follows from Theorem 5.4 (ii) that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a solution of (5.3). □

Next we write down the formula of BT for γ in terms of γ.

Corollary 7.7. *Let $\gamma, u, g, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi, \triangle, \tilde{\pi}$ be as in Theorem 7.6. If $\alpha_1\alpha_2 \neq 0$, then*

$$\hat{\gamma}(x,t) = g(x,t)\triangle(x,t)\left(I + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{\alpha_2}\tilde{\pi}^\sharp(x,t) + \frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_1}\tilde{\pi}(x,t)\right)e_1$$

is a solution of (5.3).

Proof. By Theorem 7.6, $\tilde{\gamma}(x,t) = \tilde{E}(x,t,0)e_1$ is a solution of the (5.3). Note that $g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi}(\lambda)$ is holomorphic at $\lambda = 0$ and $g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi}(0) \in O(n+1,n)$. So

$$\hat{\gamma} = E(x,t,0)\triangle(x,t)g^{-1}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde{\pi}(x,t)}(0)\tilde{\triangle}(x,t)^{-1}e_1$$

is also a solution of (5.3). But $\tilde{\triangle} \in N_n^+$ implies that $\tilde{\triangle}(x,t)e_1 = e_1$. This proves the Corollary. □

Remark 7.8. If $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$, the formula for the new solution $\tilde{\gamma}$ obtained in Theorem 7.6 is more complicated. We use the same notation as in Theorem 7.6 and set

$$\pi' = \mathrm{I} - \pi, \quad (\pi^\sharp)' = \mathrm{I} - \pi^\sharp.$$

Write $E(x,t,\lambda)$ as a power series in λ,

$$E(x,t,\lambda) = E_0(x,t) + E_1(x,t)\lambda + E_2(x,t)\lambda^2 + \cdots.$$

Then the new solution $\tilde{\gamma}$ obtained in Theorem 7.6 is

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\gamma}(x,t) = &((\pi^\sharp)'(E_0(x,t)\triangle\tilde{\pi}' - \alpha_1 E_1(x,t)\triangle\tilde{\pi}^\sharp)e_1\\ &+ (-\alpha_1\pi E_1(x,t)\triangle\tilde{\pi}' + \alpha_1^2\pi E_2(x,t)\triangle\tilde{\pi}^\sharp)e_1.\end{aligned}$$

Example 7.9. 1-soliton of the third isotropic curve flow of B-type on $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$

Note that $\gamma = (1, x, \frac{x^2}{2})^t$ is a solution of the third isotropic curve flow (1.5) with isotropic curvature $u = 0$ and $E(x,t,\lambda) = \exp(J_B x + J_B^3 t)$ is a frame of $u = 0$. Next we apply BTs to write down explicit solutions for the isotropic curve flow (1.5),

$$\gamma_t = u_x\gamma - u\gamma_x.$$

Note that $\eta_3(0) = 0$, so we can choose $\triangle$ to be the identity.

Set $\lambda = z^2$. Then $E(x,t,z^2)$ is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\cosh(zx+z^3t)+1) & \frac{z}{2}\sinh(zx+z^3t) & \frac{z^2}{4}(\cosh(zx+z^3t)-1)\\ \frac{1}{z}\sinh(zx+z^3t) & \cosh(zx+z^3t) & \frac{z}{2}\sinh(zx+z^3t)\\ \frac{1}{z^2}(\cosh(zx+z^3t)-1) & \frac{1}{z}\sinh(zx+z^3t) & \frac{1}{2}(\cosh(zx+z^3t)+1)\end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be the standard basis on $\mathbb{R}^3$, and $V_1 = \mathbb{R}v_1$, $V_2 = \mathbb{R}e_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_3$. Then $V_1 \subset V_1^\perp$ and $V_2^\perp \subset V_2$. Let $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $\tilde{p}_1 = E^{-1}(x,t,\alpha_1^2)e_1$, $\tilde{p}_2 = E^{-1}(x,t,\alpha_2^2)e_2$, $\tilde{p}_3 = E^{-1}(x,t,\alpha_2^2)e_3$. And

$$\tilde{V}_1 = \mathbb{R}\tilde{p}_1, \quad \tilde{V}_2 = \mathbb{R}\tilde{p}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}\tilde{p}_3.$$

The projection $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ onto $\tilde{V}_1$ with respect to $\mathbb{R}^{2,1} = \tilde{V}_1 \oplus \tilde{V}_2$ is

$$\tilde{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix}\tilde{p}_1 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{p}_1 & \tilde{p}_2 & \tilde{p}_3\end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

To simplify the result, we introduce some notation:

$$c(x,t,\alpha) = \cosh(\alpha x + \alpha^3 t), \quad s(x,t,\alpha) = \sinh(\alpha x + \alpha^3 t). \tag{7.5}$$

Let D denote the determinant of $\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{p}_1 & \tilde{p}_2 & \tilde{p}_3\end{pmatrix}$. A direct computation implies that

$$D = \frac{1}{4}(c(\alpha_1)+1)(c(\alpha_2)+1) - \frac{\alpha_2}{2\alpha_1}s(\alpha_1)s(\alpha_2) + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{4\alpha_1^2}(c(\alpha_1)-1)(c(\alpha_2)-1).$$

Apply BT for (4.7) to get a new solution $\tilde{q}(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -q_1 \end{pmatrix}$ of (4.7) (with $j=2$), where

$$q_1 = \frac{(\alpha_1^2-\alpha_2^2)(\alpha_2(c(\alpha_1)-1)s(\alpha_2)-\alpha_1 s(\alpha_1)(c(\alpha_2)+1))}{4\alpha_1^2 D},$$
$$q_2 = \frac{(\alpha_1^2-\alpha_2^2)(\alpha_2^2(c(\alpha_1)-1)(c(\alpha_2)-1)-\alpha_1^2(c(\alpha_1)+1)(c(\alpha_2)+1))}{8\alpha_1^2 D}.$$

Here we use $c(\alpha)$, and $s(\alpha)$ to denote functions $c(\cdot,\cdot,\alpha)$ and $s(\cdot,\cdot,\alpha)$ respectively. So the new solution is $\tilde{u} = y(e_{12}+e_{21})$ for (4.12), where $y = q_2 + \frac{1}{2}q_1^2 + (q_1)_x$. And the corresponding curve flow solution for (1.5) is:

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_1^2-\alpha_2^2}{2\alpha_1^2 D}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{x^2}{2} & x & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\alpha_1^2 D}{\alpha_1^2-\alpha_2^2} - c(\alpha_1) - c(\alpha_2) \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_1}s(\alpha_1)(c(\alpha_2)+1) - \frac{1}{\alpha_2}(c(\alpha_1)-1)s(\alpha_2) \\ \frac{\alpha_1^2-\alpha_2^2}{\alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2}(c(\alpha_1)-1)(c(\alpha_2)+1) \end{pmatrix}$$

In particular, let $\alpha_2 = 0$. We get the following smooth solution of (1.5),

$$\tilde{\gamma}(x,t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\alpha s(x,t,\alpha)}{c(x,t,\alpha)+1}x - \frac{\alpha^2(c(x,t,\alpha)-1)}{4(c(x,t,\alpha)+1)}x^2 \\ \frac{2s(x,t,\alpha)}{\alpha(c(x,t,\alpha)+1)} - \frac{c(x,t,\alpha)-1}{c(x,t\alpha)+1}x \\ \frac{2(c(x,t,\alpha)-1)}{\alpha^2(c(x,t,\alpha)+1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $c(x,t,\alpha)$ $s(x,t,\alpha)$ are defined by (7.5). The isotropic curvature of $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the one-soliton of the KdV,

$$\tilde{u} = -\alpha_1^2 \operatorname{sech}^2(\frac{a_1}{2}x + \frac{\alpha_1^3}{2}t).$$

Next we give a Permutability formula for BTs of (4.7). First we need some Lemmas.

Lemma 7.10. *Let $\alpha_i, \beta_i, i = 1,2$ be four distinct constants in $\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$, and V_i, W_i linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ for $i = 1,2$ such that*

$$\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n} = V_1 \oplus V_2, \quad V_1 \subset V_1^\perp, \quad V_2^\perp \subset V_2,$$
$$\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n} = W_1 \oplus W_2, \quad W_1 \subset W_1^\perp, \quad W_2^\perp \subset W_2.$$

Let π_1 be the projection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ onto V_1 along V_2, and π_2 the projection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ onto W_1 along W_2. Set

$$\begin{cases} \hat{W}_1 = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_1)(V_1), \\ \hat{W}_2 = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_2)(V_2). \end{cases}$$

Then

(i) $\hat{W}_1 \subset \hat{W}_1^\perp, \hat{W}_2^\perp \subset \hat{W}_2$,
(ii) $\hat{W}_2^\perp = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_2)(V_2^\perp)$.

Proof. Note that $g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_i) \in O(n+1,n)$, for $i = 1, 2$. So for any $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$,

$$\langle g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_i), g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_i)Y\rangle = \langle X, Y\rangle, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

This proves (i). To prove (ii), note that $X \in \hat{W}_2^{\perp}$ if and only if

$$\langle X, g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_2)Y\rangle = \langle g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_i)^{-1}X, Y\rangle = 0, \quad \forall\ Y \in V_2.$$

Hence $g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_i)^{-1}X \in V_2^{\perp}$. This proves (ii). □

Proposition 7.11. *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ be four distinct non-zero real numbers, and π_i, τ_i projections of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ satisfying $\pi_i\pi_i^{\sharp} = \pi_i^{\sharp}\pi_i = \tau_i\tau_i^{\sharp} = \tau_i^{\sharp}\tau_i = \mathbf{0}$ for $1 \le i \le 2$. Set*

$$V_1 = \mathrm{Im}(\pi_1), V_2 = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_1), W_1 = \mathrm{Im}(\pi_2), W_2 = \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_2),$$
$$\hat{V}_1 = \mathrm{Im}(\hat{\tau}_2), \hat{V}_2 = \mathrm{Ker}(\hat{\tau}_2), \hat{W}_1 = \mathrm{Im}(\tau_1), \hat{W}_2 = \mathrm{Ker}(\hat{\tau}_1).$$

Then

$$g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tau_2}g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi_1} = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau_1}g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2} \tag{7.6}$$

if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \hat{V}_1 = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau_1}(\beta_2)W_1, \quad \hat{V}_2 = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau_1}(\beta_1)W_2, \\ \hat{W}_1 = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_1)(V_1), \quad \hat{W}_2 = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_2)(V_2). \end{cases} \tag{7.7}$$

Proof. We first prove (7.7) is sufficient. The proof of Lemma 7.10 implies that $\hat{V}_1 \subset \hat{V}_1^{\perp}, \hat{V}_2^{\perp} \subset \hat{V}_2$. Since $g^{-1}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi_1} = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi_1^{\sharp}}$, it suffices to prove that

$$g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi_1^{\sharp}} = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau_1^{\sharp}}g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tau_2}. \tag{7.8}$$

It is equivalent to prove that the residues at α_1, β_2 on both sides of (7.8) are equal, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_1)\pi_1 = \tau_1 g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tau_2^{\sharp}}(\alpha_1), \\ g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_2)\pi_1^{\sharp} = \tau_1^{\sharp} g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tau_2^{\sharp}}(\alpha_2), \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \pi_2^{\sharp} g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi_1}(\beta_1) = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau_1^{\sharp}}(\beta_1)\tau_2^{\sharp}, \\ \pi_2 g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi_1}(\beta_2) = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau_1^{\sharp}}(\beta_2)\tau_2. \end{cases}$$

These are true because we have

$$\mathrm{Im}(\tau_1) = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_1)\mathrm{Im}(\pi_1), \quad \mathrm{Im}(I-\tau_1) = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}(\alpha_2)\mathrm{Im}(I-\pi_1),$$
$$\mathrm{Im}(\tau_2) = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau_1}(\beta_2)\mathrm{Im}(\pi_2), \quad \mathrm{Im}(I-\tau_2) = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau_1}(\beta_1)\mathrm{Im}(I-\pi_2).$$

The computation given for the sufficient part also proves necessary part. □

Theorem 7.12. [Permutability]
Let q be a solution of (4.7), and $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \pi_i, \tau_i$ as in Proposition 7.11 for $i = 1, 2$ satisfying (7.6). Let

$$q_1 = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi_1}\sharp q = q + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)[\beta, \tilde{\pi}_1 - \tilde{\pi}_1^{\sharp}],$$
$$q_2 = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}\sharp q = q + (\beta_1 - \beta_2)[\beta, \tilde{\pi}_2 - \tilde{\pi}_2^{\sharp}],$$

$q_{12} = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tau_2}\sharp(g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi_1}\sharp q)$ and $q_{21} = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau_1}\sharp(g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}\sharp q)$ solutions of (4.7) *constructed from Bäcklund transformations (Theorem 7.4). Let $\tilde\tau_1$ and $\tilde\tau_2$ be the projections of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ with*

$$\mathrm{Im}(\tilde\tau_1) = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tilde\pi_2}(\alpha_1)\mathrm{Im}(\tilde\pi_1), \quad \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde\tau_1) = g_{\beta_1,\tilde\beta_2,\tilde\pi_2}(\alpha_2)\mathrm{Ker}(\tilde\pi_1), \tag{7.9}$$

$$\mathrm{Im}(\tilde\tau_2) = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde\pi_1}(\beta_2)\mathrm{Im}(\tilde\pi_2), \quad \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde\tau_2) = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde\pi_1}(\beta_1)\mathrm{Ker}(\tilde\pi_2). \tag{7.10}$$

Then

$$q_{12} = q_{21} = q_1 + (\beta_1-\beta_2)[\beta, \tilde\tau_2 - \tilde\tau_2^\sharp] = q_2 + (\alpha_1-\alpha_2)[\beta, \tilde\tau_1 - \tilde\tau_1^\sharp], \tag{7.11}$$

where β is as in (4.2).

Proof. Let F be a frame of the solution q of (4.7). Theorem 7.4 implies that

$$F_1 = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi_1} F g^{-1}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde\pi_1},$$
$$F_2 = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2} F g^{-1}_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tilde\pi_2},$$

are frames of q_1 and q_2 respectively. Apply Theorem 7.4 to q_2 and q_1 to see that there are projections $\tilde\tau_1(x,t)$ and $\tilde\tau_2(x,t)$ such that

$$F_{12} = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tau_2} g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi_1} F g^{-1}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde\pi_1} g^{-1}_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tilde\tau_2},$$
$$F_{21} = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau_1} g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2} F g^{-1}_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tilde\pi_2} g^{-1}_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde\tau_1}$$

are frames of q_{12} and q_{21} respectively. Let $f = g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tau_2} g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\pi_1}$. By assumption, $f = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tau_1} g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\pi_2}$. So we obtain

$$fF = F_{12} g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tilde\tau_2} g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde\pi_1} = F_{21} g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde\tau_1} g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tilde\pi_2}.$$

This gives two factorizations of fF as the product of elements in $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{(1)}_n)_+$ and $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{(1)}_n)_-$. Since the factorization of fF in $(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{(1)}_n)_+(\hat{\mathbb{B}}^{(1)}_n)_-$ is unique, we get $F_{12} = F_{21}$ and

$$g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tilde\tau_2} g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde\pi_1} = g_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\tilde\tau_1} g_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\tilde\pi_2}. \tag{7.12}$$

It follows from (7.12) and Proposition 7.11 that $\tilde\tau_i$ satisfies (7.9) and (7.10). Since $F_{12} = F_{21}$, we have $q_{12} = q_{21}$. Formula (7.11) follows from Theorem 7.4. □

Remark 7.13.

(i) The solution q_{12} given Theorem 7.12 is an algebraic function of $q, \tilde\pi_1, \tilde\pi_2$.

(ii) We apply Theorem 7.4 to the trivial solution $q = 0$ to get k 1-soliton solutions q_i of (4.7) and their frames F_i for $1 \le i \le k$. Apply the Permutability Theorem 7.12 to construct 2-soliton solutions q_{ij} of (4.7). Apply Theorem 7.12 to q_2, q_{12} and q_{23} to get the 3-soliton solution q_{123}. Continue this way to get explicit formulas for k-soliton solutions of (4.7) and their frames algebraically from one-solitons. Suppose $\tilde F$ is a frame of a k-soliton solution $\tilde q$ of (4.7), and $\tilde\triangle = D(\tilde q)$, where D is the operator given in Definition 4.4. Then $\tilde E = \tilde F\tilde\triangle^{-1}$ is a frame of the solution $\tilde u = \tilde\triangle * \tilde q$ of the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat B^{(1)}_n$-KdV

flow (4.12) and $\tilde{\gamma}(x,t) = \tilde{E}(x,t,0)e_1$ is an explicit solution of the isotropic curve flow (5.3).

8. Bäcklund transformations for the $\hat{A}^{(2)}_{2n}$-KdV flows

We proceed the same way as for the $\hat{B}^{(1)}_n$-KdV flows in section 7 to construct BTs for isotropic curve flows of A-type on $\mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$.

Let $\hat{\mathbb{A}}^{(2)}_{2n}$ denote the group of smooth maps $f : S^1 \to SL(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$ satisfying

$$\overline{f(\bar{\lambda})} = f(\lambda), \quad f(-\lambda)^t C_n f(\lambda) = C_n, \tag{8.1}$$

and $(\hat{\mathbb{A}}^{(2)}_{2n})_+$ the subgroup of $f \in \hat{\mathbb{A}}^{(2)}_{2n}$ that can be extended to a holomorphic map on $\mathbb{C}$, and $(\hat{\mathbb{A}}^{(2)}_{2n})_-$ the subgroup of $f \in \hat{\mathbb{A}}^{(2)}_{2n}$ that is the boundary value of a holomorphic map $\tilde{f}$ defined on $\epsilon^{-1} \le |\lambda| \le \infty$ such that $\tilde{f}(\infty) = \mathrm{I}$ for some small $\epsilon > 0$. Then the Lie algebras of $\hat{\mathbb{A}}^{(2)}_{2n}$ and $(\hat{\mathbb{A}}^{(2)}_{2n})_\pm$ are $\hat{A}^{(2)}_{2n}$ and $(\hat{A}^{(2)}_{2n})_\pm$ respectively.

Definition 8.1. If V is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ such that $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n} = V \oplus V^\perp$, then we call the projection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ onto V along $V^\perp$ an $O(n+1,n)$-*projection*.

The proofs of the following two Propositions are straight forward.

Proposition 8.2.

(a) *π is an $O(n+1,n)$-projection if and only if $\pi^2 = \pi = \pi^\sharp$.*
(b) *If V is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$, then the restriction of $\langle\,,\rangle$ to V is non-degenerate if and only if $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n} = V \oplus V^\perp$.*

Proposition 8.3. *Let π be an $O(n+1,n)$-projection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ onto V along $V^\perp$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$. Set*

$$g_{\alpha,\pi}(\lambda) = I + \frac{2\alpha}{\lambda - \alpha}(I - \pi). \tag{8.2}$$

Then $g_{\alpha,\pi} \in (\hat{A}^{(2)}_{2n})_-$.

Theorem 8.4. *Let $F(x,t,\cdot) \in (\hat{A}^{(2)}_{2n})_+$ be a frame of a solution q of (4.19), π be an $O(n+1,n)$-projection onto V along $V^\perp$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ a constant, and $g_{\alpha,\pi}$ defined as in (8.2). Set $\tilde{V}(x,t) = F(x,t,\alpha)^{-1}(V)$, $\tilde{\pi}(x,t)$ the $O(n+1,n)$-projection onto $\tilde{V}(x,t)$ and $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda) = g_{\alpha,\pi}(\lambda)F(x,t,\lambda)g_{\alpha,\tilde{\pi}(x,t)}(\lambda)^{-1}$. Then*

(1) $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n} = \tilde{V}(x,t) \oplus \tilde{V}(x,t)^\perp$,
(2) *$\tilde{q} = q + 2\alpha[\tilde{\pi}, e_{1,2n+1}]$ is a solution of (4.19) and $\tilde{F}$ is a frame of $\tilde{q}$ (We will use $g_{\alpha,\pi} \bullet q$ to denote the new solution $\tilde{q}$).*

Proof. Since $F(x,t,\cdot)$ satisfies (8.1) and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $F(x,t,\alpha) \in O(n+1,n)$. Hence the restriction to $\tilde{V}(x,t)$ is non-degenerate.. This proves statement (1).

By Proposition 8.3, $g_{\alpha,\tilde{\pi}(x,t)} \in (\hat{A}^{(2)}_{2n})_-$. So to prove (2), it suffices to prove that $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda)$ is holomorphic in λ. To prove this, we only need to show that the residues of $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda)$ at $\pm\alpha$ are zero. Note that the residue of $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda)$ at α is

$$2\alpha(I-\pi)F(x,t,\alpha)\tilde{\pi}.$$

Since $\mathrm{Im}(\tilde{\pi}(x,t)) = \tilde{V}(x,t)$ and $F(x,t,\alpha)\tilde{V}(x,t) = V$, we have

$$F(x,t,\alpha)\mathrm{Im}(\tilde{V}(x,t)) = V.$$

Therefore the residue of $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda)$ at $\lambda = \alpha$ is zero.

The residue of $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda)$ at $-\alpha$ is

$$2\alpha\pi F(x,t,-\alpha)(I-\tilde{\pi}).$$

For any $\eta \in \tilde{V}(x,t)^\perp$, $\langle \eta, \hat{v}\rangle = 0$, since $F(x,t,\cdot) \in (\hat{A}^{(2)}_{2n})_+$, we have

$$\langle F(x,t,-\alpha)\eta, V\rangle = \langle F(x,t,-\alpha)\eta, F(x,t,\alpha)\tilde{V}(x,t)\rangle = \langle \eta, \tilde{V}(x,t)\rangle = 0.$$

Hence $\pi F(x,t,-a)(I-\tilde{\pi})\eta = 0$. This proves the residue of $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda)$ at $\lambda = -\alpha$ is zero. This proves $\tilde{F}(x,t,\lambda)$ is holomorphic for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ □

Theorem 8.5. *Let $E(x,t,\cdot) \in (\hat{\mathbb{A}}^{(2)}_{2n})_+$ be a frame of a solution u of (4.21), i.e., $u_t = [\partial_x + b + u, S_{2j-1,0}(u) - \tilde{\eta}_j(u)]$. Let $\triangle(x,t) \in N_n^+$ satisfying $\triangle_t\triangle^{-1} = \tilde{\eta}_j(u)$. Then*

(i) *$q = \triangle^{-1} * u$. is a solution of (4.19) and $F = E\triangle$ a frame for q.*
(ii) *Let $\alpha, \pi, \tilde{\pi}$ be as in Theorem 8.4, and $\tilde{q} = g_{\alpha,\tilde{\pi}} \bullet q$. Then*

$$\tilde{E} = g_{\alpha,\pi}E\triangle g^{-1}_{\alpha,\tilde{\pi}}\tilde{\triangle}^{-1}$$

is a frame of a new solution $\tilde{u} = \tilde{\triangle} * (g_{\alpha,\pi} \bullet (\triangle * u))$ of (4.21).

Theorem 8.6. *Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathcal{M}_{n+1,n}$ be a solution of the $(2j-1)$-th isotropic curve flow (5.4) of A-type, $g(\cdot,t)$ the isotropic moving frame along $\gamma(\cdot,t)$, and $u(\cdot,t) = (g^{-1}g_x - b)$ the solution of the $(2j-1)$-th $\hat{A}^{(2)}_{2n}$-KdV flow (4.21) as in Theorem 5.4. Let $\alpha, \pi, \tilde{\pi}$, $\triangle$, $\tilde{E}$ and $\tilde{u}$ be as in Theorem 8.4. Then $\tilde{\gamma}(x,t) = \tilde{E}(x,t,0)e_1$ is is a solution of (5.4) and $\tilde{u}$ is its isotropic curvature, where $e_1 = (1,0,\cdots,0)^t$.*

Corollary 8.7. *Let $\gamma, g, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \pi, \tilde{\pi}, \triangle$ be as in Theorem 8.6. If $\alpha_1\alpha_2 \neq 0$, then*

$$\tilde{\gamma}(x,t) = g(x,t)\triangle(x,t)(2\tilde{\pi}(x,t) - I_{2n+1})e_1$$

is a solution of (5.4).

Example 8.8. 1**-soliton of the isotropic curve flow of A-type on** $\mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ **of A-type**

Note that $\gamma = (1, x, \frac{x}{2})^t \in \mathcal{M}_{2,1}$ is a solution of (1.6) with isotropic curvature $q = 0$. Set $\lambda = z^3$,

$$D(z) = \mathrm{diag}(1, z, z^2), \quad \Xi = (\alpha^{(i-1)(j-1)})_{3\times 3}, \quad \sigma = e_{12} + e_{23} + e_{31},$$
$$A_i(x, t, z) = \exp(\alpha^{i-1} z x + (\alpha^{i-1} z)^5 t), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$
$$(m_1(x, t, z), m_2(x, t, z), m_3(x, t, z)) = (e^{A_1}, \ldots, e^{A_3})\Xi.$$

Then the extended frame $E(x, t, z^3)$ of $q = 0$ is

$$E(x, t, z^3) = \frac{1}{3} D(z)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} m_1(x,t,z) & m_2(x,t,z) & m_3(x,t,z) \\ m_3(x,t,z) & m_1(x,t,z) & m_2(x,t,z) \\ m_2(x,t,z) & m_3(x,t,z) & m_1(x,t,z) \end{pmatrix} D(z).$$

Apply Theorem 8.4 by choosing $V = \mathbb{R}v$ for some constant $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ with $\langle v, v\rangle \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\tilde{v} = E(-x, -t, k^3)v, \quad \tilde{v}_i = \frac{1}{3}(e^{A_1}, e^{A_2}, e^{A_3})\Xi\sigma^{i-1} D(k)(v_1, v_2, v_3)^t.$$

In particular, we can choose v such that

$$\tilde{v} = (e^{A_1} + \alpha^2 e^{A_2}, \frac{1}{k}(e^{A_1} + \alpha e^{A_2}), \frac{1}{k^2}(e^{A_1} + e^{A_2}))^t.$$

Apply BT for (4.19) (Theorem 8.4), we get a new solution $\tilde{q} = \tilde{q}_1(e_{11} - e_{33}) + \tilde{q}_2(e_{12} + e_{23})$, where

$$\tilde{q}_1 = 2k \frac{(e^{A_1} + e^{A_2})^2}{4\alpha e^{A_1+A_2} - e^{2A_1} - \alpha^2 e^{2A_2}}$$
$$\tilde{q}_2 = -2k^2 \frac{e^{2A_1} + \alpha e^{2A_2} + (1+\alpha)e^{A_1+A_2}}{4\alpha e^{A_1+A_2} - e^{2A_1} - \alpha^2 e^{2A_2}}.$$

Hence the new solution $\tilde{u} = y(e_{12} + e_{21})$ of (1.6), where

$$y = \tilde{q}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{q}_1^2 + (\tilde{q}_1)_x.$$

Let $M = 4\alpha e^{A_1+A_2} - e^{2A_1} - \alpha^2 e^{2A_2}$, the corresponding curve flow solution for (1.6) is

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{x^2}{2} & x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{M}(e^{2A_1} + (1+\alpha^2)e^{A_1+A_2} + \alpha^2 e^{2A_2} + \frac{1}{2k^3}\tilde{q}^2 \\ \frac{1}{2k^3}\tilde{q}_2 \\ -\frac{1}{2k^3}\tilde{q}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Next we derive the permutability formula for BTs of (4.19). First we need a Proposition.

Proposition 8.9. *Let* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$*, and* π_i *an* $O(n+1, n)$*-projection of* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n}$ *for* $i = 1, 2$*. If* $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$*, then*

$$\phi = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_2\pi_2 - 2\alpha_1\pi_1$$

is invertible. Moreover,

(i) $\tau_i = \phi\pi_i\phi^{-1}$ *is an* $O(n+1,n)$*-projection for* $i = 1, 2$,
(ii) $g_{\alpha_2,\tau_2} g_{\alpha_1,\pi_1} = g_{\alpha_1,\tau_1} g_{\alpha_2,\pi_2}$ *if and only if* $\tau_i = \phi\pi_i\phi^{-1}$ *for* $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Since $\pi_i^2 = \pi_i$, the eigenvalues of π are $0, \pm 1$. Consider the eigenvalue of ϕ, if $\pi_1 = \pi_2$, then the eigenvalue of ϕ is $\alpha_2 - \alpha_1$. If $\pi_1 \neq \pi_2$, then the possible eigenvalues for π are $\pm(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$. Therefore, as long as $|\alpha_1| \neq |a_2|$, ϕ is invertible.

From the definition of τ_i, we have $\tau_i^2 = \tau_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. To prove (i), we only need to show that $\tau_i^t C_n = C_n \tau_i$. It is equivalent to prove that

$$\pi_i^t \phi^t C_n \phi = \phi^t C_n \phi \pi_i, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{8.3}$$

It follows from direct computation and the fact that both π_1 and π_2 are $O(n+1,n)$-projections, we have

$$\phi^t C_n \phi = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2 C_n + 4\alpha_1\alpha_2 C_n(\pi_1 + \pi_2 - \pi_2\pi_1 - \pi_1\pi_2).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1^t \phi^t C_n \phi &= (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2 C_n \pi_1 + 4\alpha_1\alpha_2 C_n(\pi_1 + \pi_1\pi_2 - \pi_1\pi_2\pi_1 - \pi_1\pi_2) \\ &= \phi^t C_n \phi \pi_1\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\pi_2^t \phi^t C_n \phi = \phi^t C_n \phi \pi_2$.

To prove (ii), let

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_1\pi_1, \quad Y_2 = \alpha_2 - 2\alpha_2\tau_2, \\ Z_1 &= \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_1\tau_1, \quad Z_2 = \alpha_2 - 2\alpha_2\pi_2. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $(\lambda + Y_2)(\lambda + Y_1) = (\lambda + Z_1)(\lambda + Z_2)$. Compare coefficients as an expansion of λ to get

$$\begin{cases} Y_1 + Y_2 = Z_1 + Z_2, \\ Y_2 Y_1 = Z_1 Z_2. \end{cases}$$

So we get

$$Z_1 = (Y_1 - Z_2)Y_1(Y_1 - Z_2)^{-1}, \quad Y_2 = (Y_1 - Z_2)Z_2(Y_1 - Z_2)^{-1}.$$

Hence $\tau_i = \phi\pi_i\phi^{-1}, i = 1, 2$. □

Theorem 8.10. [Permutability]
Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ *with* $|\alpha_1| \neq |\alpha_2|$, *and* π_i *an* $O(n+1,n)$*-projection for* $i = 1, 2$. *Let* q *be a solution of* (4.19), $q_i = g_{\alpha_i,\pi_i} \bullet q = q + 2\alpha_i[\tilde{\pi}_i, e_{1,2n+1}]$, *and*

$$q_{12} = g_{\alpha_2,\tau_2} \bullet (g_{\alpha_1,\pi_1} \bullet q), \quad q_{21} = g_{\alpha_1,\tau_1} \bullet (g_{\alpha_2,\pi_2} \bullet q)$$

solutions of (4.19) *obtained from Bäcklund transformations. Set*

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_2\pi_2 - 2\alpha_i\pi_1, \quad \tilde{\phi} = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_2\tilde{\pi}_2 - 2\alpha_i\tilde{\pi}_1, \\ &\tau_i = \phi\pi_i\phi^{-1}, \quad \tilde{\tau}_i = \phi\tilde{\pi}_i\phi^{-1}, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$q_{12} = q_{21} = q_1 + 2\alpha_2[\tilde{\tau}_2, e_{1,2n+1}] = q_2 + 2\alpha_1[\tilde{\tau}_1, e_{1,2n+1}].$$

Similarly, we can use Theorems 8.4 and 8.6 to construct explicit k-soliton solutions for isotropic curve flows of A-type.

References

[1] Calini, A., Ivey, T., Marí Beffa,G., *Integrable flows for starlike curves in centroaffine space*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **9** (2013), Paper 022, 21 pp.

[2] Cheng, Y., *Constraints of the Kadmotesev-Petviashvili hierarchy*, J. Math. Phys. **33(11)**(1992), 3774–3782.

[3] Dickey, L. A., *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems*, second edition, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics **26** (2003), World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ.

[4] Drinfel'd, V.G., Sokolov, V.V., *Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type*, (Russian) Current problems in mathematics, **24** (1984), 81–180, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow.

[5] Kaup, D. J., *On the inverse scattering problem for cubic eigenvalue problems of the class* $\psi_{xxx} + 6Q\psi_x + 6R\psi = \lambda\psi$, Stud. Appl. Math. **62(3)** (1980),189–216.

[6] Kupershmidt, B.A., *A super Korteweg-de Vries equation: an integrable system*, Phys. Lett. A **102(5–6)** (1984), 213–215.

[7] Pinkall, U., *Hamiltonian flows on the space of star-shaped curves*, Results Math. **27(3-4)** (1995), 328–332.

[8] Terng, C.L., Uhlenbeck, K., *Bäcklund transformations and loop group actions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **53(1)** (2000), 1–75.

[9] Terng, C.L., Uhlenbeck, K., *The* $n \times n$ *KdV hierarchy*, JFPTA **10** (2011), 37–61.

[10] Terng, C.L., Wu, Z., *Central affine curve flow on the plane*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., Mme Choquet-Bruhat Fastschrift, **14** (2013), 375–396.

[11] Terng, C.L., Wu, Z., *N-dimension central affine curve flows*, arXiv:1411.2725v1.

Department of Mathematics, University of California at Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697-3875. Email: cterng@math.uci.edu

Department of Mathematics, Ningbo University, Ningbo, Zhejiang, 315211, China. Email: wuzhiwei@nbu.edu.cn